

Synchrotron Product Detection Studies of Ortho and Meta Methylphenyl + O₂

Adam J. Trevitt,^{1*} John D. Savee,² David L. Osborn,² Craig A. Taatjes² and Gabriel da Silva³

¹ University of Wollongong, NSW, Australia

² Combustion Research Facility, Sandia National Laboratories, CA, USA

³ University of Melbourne, Vic, Australia

* Corresponding author: adamt@uow.edu.au

Conventional combustion chemistry is predominantly driven by reactions of hydrocarbon radicals with O₂. In the case of the aromatic-fuel archetype toluene (C₆H₅CH₃), its corresponding radical benzyl (C₆H₅CH₂) is relatively resilient to molecular oxygen and this underpins toluene's resistance to autoignition. It is emerging however, that the reactive methylphenyl (C₆H₄CH₃) isomers of benzyl, formed in low levels through the initial attack of OH on toluene, warrant consideration. Recent kinetic modeling of toluene oxidation in a flow reactor finds that the methylphenyl channel accounts for 15% of the initial destruction of toluene.¹ To date there have been few experimental studies of these methylphenyl oxidation reactions. To address this, we utilize synchrotron photoionisation mass spectrometry (PIMS) performed at the Chemical Dynamics Beamline of the Advanced Light Source (Berkeley, USA) to examine the products of the *ortho*-methylphenyl + O₂ reaction. Comparisons are made to the *meta*-methylphenyl + O₂. These reactions are first studied at room temperature and 4 Torr.

Oxidation products are detected on several mass channels for both the *ortho* and *meta*-methylphenyl isomers; the *ortho* case contains two additional significant products. In both cases, O-atom loss is a major product channel. For *o*-methylphenyl + O₂, the presence of the methyl group at the *ortho* position provides additional pathways for the peroxy radical intermediate to rearrange. Ultimately, this leads to two unique product channels, corresponding to OH elimination and CH₃CO elimination. For both reactions we discuss the likely chemical pathways to these products drawing on computationally derived potential energy diagrams. This enables us to explain some of the underlying chemistry leading to the observed products.

References

(1) Metcalfe, W. K.; Dooley, S.; Dryer, F. L. *Energy Fuels* **2011**, *25*, 4915.

