DR. MILES: GOOD AFTERNOON. I AM VERY 0477 1 DELIGHTED TO BE HERE. I HAVE BEEN READING YOUR PAPERS FOR THE LAST 17 YEARS OR SO, AND I KNOW ONLY 2 THE MARINE SCIENTISTS IN THE ROOM, OR MAINLY THE 3 4 MARINE SCIENTISTS. I ALSO KNOW A FEW OF THE TERRESTRIAL 5 FOLKS. 6 I HAD HOPED TO CONVINCE THE ORGANIZERS TO ALLOW ME TO CONDUCT A 7 REAL-TIME EXPERIMENT AND I DID RECEIVE THEIR APPROVAL. THIS EXPERIMENT WAS 8 9 STIMULATED FOR ME BY TWO THINGS: 1. AS YOU KNOW FROM WHAT I SAID YESTERDAY, WE HAVE A SEVERE DISCONNECT 10 11 BETWEEN THE RATE AT WHICH NATURE IS CHANGING, HAVING 12 BEEN PERTURBED BY US, AND THE RESPONSE RATE OF THE 13 POLICY SYSTEMS AT GLOBAL AND NATIONAL LEVELS, AND THIS 14 DISCONNECT IS QUITE SERIOUS. AND IF YOU WERE TO PUSH 15 ME TO THE WALL, I WOULD SAY THE PROBABILITIES OF THE 16 POLITICAL SYSTEMS MAKING THE DECISIONS THAT ARE REQUIRED TO KEEP THIS THING FROM BECOMING A RUNAWAY 17 18 PROBLEM ARE NOT HIGH. 19 2. THE OTHER POINT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT WAS HIGHLIGHTED BY RALPH CICERONE WHEN HE 21 SAID, SCIENCE IS A NECESSARY BUT NOT A SUFFICIENT 22 CONDITION FOR THE DECISIONS THAT WE MAKE; AND THAT A THIS THEME OF POOR COMMUNICATION WAS 23 MAJOR PROBLEM IS COMMUNICATION. 24 ALSO TAKEN UP BY RICHARD SOMERVILLE IN THE PANEL ON 25 WHICH HE APPEARED YESTERDAY. SO THIS IS AN EXPERIMENT IN AN ATTEMPT TO BUILD A BRIDGE FOR 2 COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE TWO COMMUNITIES: THE CARBON CYCLE SCIENCE 3 COMMUNITY AND THE PUBLIC 4 I HAVE JUST THREE SLIDES HERE. 5 OKAY. I CHOSE YOU BECAUSE I THINK YOU ARE A CRUCIAL LINK IN 6 THIS CHAIN, AND WE COULDN'T DO THE JOB THAT NEEDS TO 8 9 BE DONE WITHOUT YOU SPEAKING DIRECTLY TO THE LARGER NATIONAL 10 CONSTITUENCY. WE OUGHT TO TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY THAT NOW EXISTS TO RESPOND TO INCREASING PUBLIC 11 12 CONCERN ABOUT WHERE WE ARE HEADED, SO I WANT TO TRY 13 TO COMPOSE, IF ONLY IN OUTLINE FORM, SOMETHING 14 EQUIVALENT TO A SUMMARY FOR POLICY MAKERS IN THE IPCC 15 STYLE, BASED UPON THE WORK REPORTED IN THE TWO PANELS THIS MORNING, BY THE TERRESTRIAL GROUP AND BY THE 16 17 OCEAN GROUP. THIS EFFORT SHOULDPROVIDE A BASIS FOR STORIES TO BE USED INSIDE AND OUTSIDE THE 18 19 SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY. A RECORD IS BEING KEPT. WHAT 20 WOULD PLEASE ME IMMENSELY IS FOR US TO PUT TOGETHER AN INITIAL STATEMENT THAT COULD APPEAR IN, FOR 21 22 INSTANCE, "SCIENCE" MAGAZINE AS ONE OF THE POLICY

23 FORUM PIECES THAT DON KENNEDY OCCASIONALLY RUNS. AND IN ORDER TO DO THAT, I'M GOING TO ASK THE PANEL TO 2.4 25 FOCUS ON FOUR QUESTIONS: 0479 THE FIRST ONE HAS TO DO WITH THE MOST 1 2 RECENT RESULTS THAT WE HAVE BEEN DISCUSSING, THE IMPLICATIONS FOR SETTING EMISSIONS TARGETS AND 3 CONCENTRATION PATHWAYS OF THE GREATER-THAN-PREDICTED 4 GROWTH IN EMISSIONS SINCE 2000, COMBINED WITH WHAT 5 APPEARS TO BE THE SLOWING DOWN OF THE UPTAKE OF CO2 IN 6 7 BOTH THE TERRESTRIAL AND THE OCEAN SINKS. 8 THE SECOND QUESTION IS, GIVEN THE GLOBAL WARMING COMMITMENT AND ACIDIFICATION EFFECTS STEMMING FROM THESE 9 LAEGER CONCENTRAQTION LEVELS, 10 HOW DO WE MANAGE ADAPTATION FOR BOTH TERRESTRIAL AND 11 OCEANIC ECOSYSTEMS AND HUMAN SOCIAL SYSTEMS, WHICH 12 ARE INTERLINKED, TO REVERSE OR HALT MOVEMENT TOWARDS 13 THRESHOLDS OF CHANGE. THE THIRD QUESTION IS: HOW MUCH TIME DO WE 14 HAVE TO ACT AND WHAT IS TO BE DONE, WHEN? 15 16 AND THE FOURTH QUESTION IS: WHAT ADDITIONAL AND/OR 17 REVISED APPROACHES TO CARBON CYCLE MEASUREMENT ARE IMPLIED IN THE QUESTIONS POSED ABOVE? 18 19 WE HAVE ALTOGETHER 75 MINUTES FOR THIS 20 PANEL. I WOULD LIKE TO CONCLUDE THE DISCUSSION WITH 21 THE PANELISTS IN 50 TO 55 MINUTES; AND GIVE YOU, THE AUDIENCE, SUFFICIENT TIME TO HAVE AT LEAST A USEFUL 22 23 DISCUSSION. 24 SO I WANT TO ASK THE PANELISTS TO CONFINE 25 THEIR RESPONSES TO VERY TERSE, BULLET-TYPE POINTS FOR 0480 EACH QUESTION; AND THEN WE CAN ELABORATE IN WHATEVER 1 TIME WE HAVE LEFT IN THE CONVERSATION WITH YOU. 3 I WILL CALL IT WHEN WE 2 MOVE FROM QUESTION TO 4 QUESTION. 5 SO WE WILL BEGIN WITH THE FIRST QUESTION, ASKING THE PANELISTS: WHAT ARE THE IMPLICATIONS FOR 6 SETTING EMISSIONS TARGETS AND CONCENTRATION PATHWAYS 7 8 GIVEN THE GREATER-THAN-PREDICTED GROWTH IN EMISSIONS 9 SINCE 2000 AND THE SLOWING DOWN OF THE UPTAKE OF CO2 IN BOTH THE TERRESTRIAL AND OCEAN SINKS. 10 11 DICK, YOU'RE RIGHT NEXT TO ME, SO WHY 12 DON'T YOU BEGIN. 13 DR. FEELY: WHAT COMES TO MIND RIGHT AWAY IS THE NEW EVIDENCE THAT WE HAVE LEARNED OVER THE 14 PAST COUPLE OF YEARS THAT THE EFFICIENCY OF THE OCEAN 15 16 CARBON SINK IS CHANGING; AND CHANGING IN WAYS WE 17 CAN ONLY MODEL RIGHT NOW; WE HAVEN'T THE OBSERVATIONAL WHEREWITHAL AT THIS POINT IN TIME TO 18 19 OBSERVE THESE CHANGES. SO IT IS VERY, VERY 20 DIFFICULT TO KNOW IF THE MODELS ARE INDEED CORRECT OR NOT. 21 22 THAT PRESENTS TWO PROBLEMS FOR US: ONE IS 23 HOW DO WE ATTRIBUTE THAT TO, PERHAPS, DECADAL CHANGES

AND NATURAL CLIMATE VARIABILITY VERSUS HOW MUCH OF 24 THIS IS DUE TO CHANGES AS A RESULT OF GLOBAL CHANGE, WHICH 25 0481 WOULD REPRESENT MORE PERMANENT CHANGES. 1 2 SO FROM A PERSPECTIVE OF THE OCEANOGRAPHY, 3 IT SEEMS VERY IMPORTANT TO GET A BETTER HANDLE ON THE OBSERVATIONS AND GET A BETTER HANDLE ON 4 WHETHER OR NOT THE MODELS ARE, INDEED, SHOWING US 5 6 THAT THESE CHANGES ARE REAL; AND IF IN FACT WE ARE 7 HEADING DOWN THE ROAD WHERE THE EFFICIENCY OF THE 8 OCEANS IS DECREASING OVER TIME, THEN THE IMPACT FOR THE OCEAN ECOSYSTEMS WILL OCCUR SOONER 9 RATHER THAN LATER. THAT MEANS THEN THAT OUR 10 APPROACHES FOR MITIGATION HAVE TO BE ACCELERATED. 11 12 DR. MILES: SCOTT. THANKS, ED; BY THE WAY, THIS 13 DR. DONEY: 14 IS A WONDERFUL SURPRISE. 15 (LAUGHTER) 16 THE TWO THINGS THAT COME TO MIND, ED, ARE 17 FIRST THAT THE TIME SCALE FOR RESPONSE IS PROBABLY 18 MUCH SHORTER THAN IS BEING PORTRAYED IN A LOT OF THE 19 PUBLIC POLICY DISCUSSIONS. THIS PROBABLY ISN'T A 20 2030 DISCUSSION OR A 2050 DISCUSSION. THIS IS A 2010 OR 2015 DISCUSSION GIVEN THE LEAD TIME FOR THE 21 RESEARCH AND THE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT WOULD NEED TO BE 22 23 PUT IN PLACE. I THINK THE OTHER THING IS THIS IS STARTING 24 25 TO LOOK MORE LIKE A RISK MANAGEMENT PROBLEM. THERE 0482 IS NOT A SINGLE OBJECTIVE HERE; WE'RE NOT AIMING FOR A PATHWAY. 1 2 WE HAVE TO SORT OF BALANCE THE POSSIBILITY OF HIGHER RESPONSES IN A LARGER WARMING, HIGHER CO2WORLD EVEN IF 3 THOSE ARE 10 OR 20 PERCENT PROBABILITIES. WE NEED TO 4 START THINKING OF THAT ALMOST LIKE AN INSURANCE PROBLEM, YOU 5 KNOW, HOW MUCH RISK ARE WE WILLING TO TAKE FOR LARGE 6 7 IMPACTS EVEN IF THEY'RE ONLY A 20-PERCENT OUTCOME. Т 8 THINK THAT IS MORE THE WAY WE WOULD WANT TO FORMULATE 9 THIS. 10 DR. MILES: VICKI. DR. FABRY: I AGREE WITH BOTH OF MY 11 12 ESTEEMED COLLEAGUES ON MY LEFT. BUT I THINK, ALSO, BECAUSE OF THE TIME LAG AND THE NECESSITY OF GETTING 13 14 STARTED NOW, AND WE HEARD YESTERDAY WHAT IT WOULD 15 TAKE TO ACTUALLY SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCE CO2 EMISSIONS, THIS MEANS THAT WHAT WE WOULD BE ASKING OF THE PUBLIC IS QUITE A 16 17 LOT. AND I WORRY IF WE HAVE THE CERTAINTY TO CONVINCE THEM. POLLS SHOW THAT PEOPLE ARE, IN FACT, 18 19 QUITE IN FAVOR OF DOING SOMETHING, WHATEVER IT IS, ABOUT GLOBAL WARMING; BUT WHEN THEY GET DOWN TO BRASS 20 TACKS OF WHAT'S GOING TO BE ASKED OF THEM, I WONDER 21 22 IF THE SUPPORT WILL STILL BE THERE. I THINK AS 23 SCIENTISTS WE WILL HAVE TO BE PREPARED TO COMMUNICATE 24 THE POSSIBLE OUTCOMES TO THEM. 25 DR. MILES: THANK YOU.

0483 1 DAVTD. DR. LOBELL: I GUESS I'M GLAD I SAT IN THE 2 MIDDLE FOR THIS. I ASSUME YOU'RE NOT GOING TO START 3 4 IN THE MIDDLE. 5 I GUESS MY REACTION TO THIS QUESTION IS 6 THAT THERE'S A CROSS SECTION OF PEOPLE IN SOCIETY. 7 SOME OF US THINK WE SHOULD BE DOING 8 EVERYTHING AS FAST AS WE CAN RIGHT NOW, 9 GIVEN WHAT WE THOUGHT EMISSIONS WERE BEFORE. FINDING 10 OUT THAT THE GLOBAL EFFECT IS COMING FASTER, IT HAS NO IMPLICATION FOR THAT SEGMENT OF THE POPULATION. 11 12 ON THE OTHER HAND, THERE IS A SEGMENT OF THE POPULATION FOR WHOM 13 IT WOULD HAVE TO BE TWICE AS FAST FOR THEM TO 14 EVEN THINK THAT WE SHOULD DO ANYTHING. SO THIS IS ALONG THE LINES OF WHAT SCOTT 15 16 WAS SAYING, THAT IT'S REALLY A QUESTION OF WHERE YOU ACCEPT RISK AND WHERE YOU DON'T. AND I THINK, 17 18 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WAS SAID YESTERDAY THAT I AGREED WITH STRONGLY WAS THAT WHEN THE LADY 19 20 FROM EXELON WAS SAYING WE'RE AN ATYPICAL AUDIENCE, 21 AND I THINK MOST OF US WOULD SAY THAT WE SHOULD BE MOVING QUITE FAST ON THIS ISSUE; BUT I THINK FOR THAT 22 MIDDLE SEGMENT OF THE POPULATION, WHO ARE MAYBE A 23 24 LITTLE BIT UNRESPONSIVE, THIS FASTER EMISSION RATE 25 WOULD PUSH THEM OVER THE EDGE. 0484 1 DR. MILES: THANK YOU. 2 CHRIS. DR. FIELD: WELL, YOU KNOW, I THINK IT'S 3 4 REALLY CLEAR THAT THERE'S GOT TO BE A PRICE SIGNAL IN 5 THIS; AND THAT IF WE SAY HOW FAST PEOPLE ARE GOING TO GO WITH VOLUNTARY STANDARDS, HOW FAST ARE THEY GOING 6 7 TO GO IF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROCEEDS WITH A NONDRIVEN COMPONENT, THAT IT'S NOT GOING TO GO AS 8 9 FAST AS WE NEED. 10 I'M REALLY FRUSTRATED THAT THERE'S A BIG COMPONENT OF THE TIME DIMENSION, THIS IS A PROBLEM WE 11 12 HAVEN'T TALKED ABOUT, AND THAT'S THE COMPONENT OF THE 13 TECHNOLOGY DIFFUSION. WE HEARD YESTERDAY THAT THERE'S A POSSIBILITY OF A CAPTURE-AND-STORAGE 14 15 FULL-SCALE POWER PLANT IN 2020. THAT'S THE FIRST 16 AND WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE RATE AT WHICH THE PLANT. 17 DIFFUSION OF LARGE-SCALE COAL-FIRED POWER OR 18 GAS-FIRED POWER, NUCLEAR, ANYTHING HAS SPREAD ACROSS 19 THE WORLD, WE'RE REALLY TALKING ABOUT MULTIPLE 20 DECADES. 21 AND I THINK THAT THERE IS GENERAL AGREEMENT 22 NOT ONLY THE CARBON CYCLE COMMUNITY THAT WE 23 HAVE HERE, BUT IN THE GENERAL COMMUNITY, AS WELL, 24 THAT THERE OUGHT TO BE REAL SOLUTIONS IN PLACE TOWARD 25 THE MIDDLE OF THE CENTURY. IT REALLY CONCERNS ME 0485 THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT TECHNOLOGIES THAT ARE SO 1

IMMATURE THAT THERE IS RELATIVELY LITTLE PROSPECT FOR 2 GETTING THEM IN PLACE BY THE MIDDLE OF THE CENTURY. 3 SO I THINK THE ONLY WAY THAT THE NECESSARY MOMENTUM IS GOING TO HAPPEN IS 4 WITH A SIGNIFICANT PRICE SIGNAL SOON THAT RESULTS IN 5 LARGE-SCALE CONSTRUCTION, LARGE-SCALE COST-REDUCTION 6 7 ACTIVITIES FOR THE KEY TECHNOLOGIES THAT ARE GOING TO 8 LEAD TO SIGNIFICANT MITIGATIONS. DR. MILES: THANK YOU. 9 10 DR. KIRSHEN: IT'S A DIFFICULT SET OF 11 MY ANSWERS ARE SOMEWHAT RELATED TO QUESTIONS. 12 CHRIS'. 13 YOU KNOW, INFRASTRUCTURE LASTS ANYWHERE 14 FROM 20 TO 100 YEARS, AND IT IS SURELY DIFFICULT TO 15 ADJUST, EXTREMELY EXPENSIVE TO ADJUST. SO IT SEEMS 16 TO ME THAT WE CAN'T ADJUST OUR INFRASTRUCTURE, LIKE 17 OUR ROADS AND HIGHWAYS AND COASTAL DEFENSES, AS WE GO 18 ALONG AND SEE WHAT HAPPENS TO CLIMATE. RIGHT NOW WE NEED TO START TAKING NEEDED ACTION FOR ALL 19 20 INFRASTRUCTURE, AND I THINK ONE WAY TO FORCE THAT ACTION BEING TAKEN, BESIDES SETTING PRICES, IS ALSO 21 22 BY REVISING BUILDING CODES AND STANDARDS. FOR 23 EXAMPLE, RIGHT NOW -- CHRIS MENTIONED THIS ALSO --RIGHT NOW MANY BUILDING CODES ARE BASED UPON THE 24 CLIMATE OF THE PRESENT OR THE CLIMATE OF THE PAST. 25 0486 1 WE NEED TO START THINKING ABOUTHOW WE INCORPORATE THIS 2 NEW CLIMATE INFORMATION TO BUILDING CODES, SO INFRASTRUCTURE WILL BE DESIGNED FOR THE FUTURE, NOT 3 4 FOR THE PAST. DR. MILES: 5 TED. 6 DR. SCHUUR: I'M AT THE END OF THE LINE 7 HERE, SO LET ME SEE IF I CAN ADD ANYTHING. 8 IN TERMS OF WHAT WE SAW TODAY, TWO THINGS ARE GOING ON IN THE NEW DATA SINCE 2000, AS YOU 9 LISTED IN THE QUESTION, BOTH THE INCREASED EMISSIONS 10 11 AND THEN DECREASING UPTAKE BY BOTH TERRESTRIAL AND 12 OCEAN SYSTEMS. AND I THINK, AS SCIENTISTS, ONE THING WE CAN DO IS KIND OF LOOK INTO THE FUTURE AND SAY, 13 WELL, THIS UPTAKE THAT WE'VE BEEN RELYING UPON BOTH 14 15 FOR THE LAND AND THE OCEAN, HOW LIKELY IS THAT TO CONTINUE IN THE FUTURE. IS IT LIKELY TO KEEP GOING 16 17 IN DIRECTION OF SLOWING DOWN? 18 WHILE I THINK MOST OF THE INCREASE IS STILL 19 DUE TO THE GREATER-THAN-EXPECTED EMISSIONS, I THINK WE CAN PROVIDE A LOT IN TERMS OF PROJECTING OUT WHERE 20 21 THE TERRESTRIAL AND OCEAN SINKS ARE GOING TO BE GOING 22 IN THE FUTURE. 23 DR. MILES: OKAY. WHY DON'T WE BEGIN WITH YOU AND WORK BACK --24 25 (LAUGHTER) 0487 -- THE OTHER WAY ON THE SECOND QUESTION? 1 WHATEVER HAPPENS, ADAPTATION IS GOING TO BE AS 2 IMPORTANT AS MITIGATION. AND THE BIOSPHERE IS A 3

4 FOCUS WHICH WE NEED TO PAY A LOT OF ATTENTION TO BUT NOT IN A SEPARATED FASHION. HUMANS AND THE BIOSPHERE 5 6 ARE LINKED. HUMANS ARE PART OF THE BIOSPHERE. AND WHAT THEN DO YOU THINK, FROM YOUR PERSPECTIVE AND THE 7 WORK THAT YOU DO, WOULD BE THE MOST SENSIBLE WAY TO 8 9 APPROACH THE ADAPTATION QUESTION FOR THE TERRESTRIAL 10 SYSTEMS YOU WORK ON, TO REVERSE OR HALT MOVEMENT TOWARD THRESHOLDS OF CHANGE? 11 12 DR. SCHUUR: WELL, I'M NOT SURE IF I'M 13 GOING TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, BUT I WILL TAKE A STAB 14 HERE, WHICH IS I DO GET ASKED HOW CAN WE, YOU KNOW, MITIGATE THESE CHANGES AT HIGH LATITUDES. AND IT'S 15 INTERESTING THERE BECAUSE A LOT OF WHAT'S GOING ON IS 16 IN PLACES WHERE PEOPLE ARE AT VERY LOW DENSITIES. 17 18 WE'VE HEARD ABOUT SEVERAL KIND OF GEOENGINEERING 19 PROPOSALS, PEOPLE TALKED MORE ABOUT THE OCEAN TODAY, 20 BUT THERE ARE ALSO CONVERSATIONS OR DISCUSSIONS ABOUT 21 ALBEDO CHANGES AND IF THE LAND SURFACE CAN BE CHANGED TO MITIGATE SOME OF THESE CHANGES. 22 23 THINKING ABOUT THAT KIND OF GEOENGINEERING 24 VERSUS DEALING WITH EMISSIONS, YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT CHANGING VERY LARGE AREAS OF, SAY, THE HIGH LATITUDES 25 0488 IF YOU WANT TO CONVERT, YOU KNOW, DARK EVERGREEN 1 FORESTS INTO LIGHT DECIDUOUS FORESTS THAT MIGHT 2 3 REFLECT MORE ENERGY. SO IN TERMS OF THINKING ABOUT MITIGATION, IT SEEMS LIKE VERY MUCH, 4 5 A GLOBAL PROBLEM RATHER THAN LOCAL TO WHERE 6 I WORK AT HIGH LATITUDES. 7 IN TERMS OF ADAPTATION, I THINK IT IS GOING TO BE KEY, ESPECIALLY IN THESE REGIONS -- IF YOU THINK ABOUT 8 9 HIGH NORTHERN LATITUDES, ALTHOUGH THE POPULATION DENSITIES ARE LOW, THERE WERE THE THRESHOLDS THAT I 10 TALKED ABOUT, AND THE THRESHOLDS AFFECT CARBON 11 12 BALANCE, BUT THEY ALSO AFFECT THE INFRASTRUCTURE OF 13 THE NORTH. SO THERE'S GOING TO BE A LOT OF CHANGES 14 THAT ARE GOING TO BE NONLINEAR, BUT WE HAVE GOOD PREDICTABILITY IN THAT THE FREEZING POINT, ZERO 15 16 DEGREE, IS A VERY KEY PLACE WHERE WE KNOW THAT THE 17 TRANSITIONS TAKE PLACE. EVEN THOUGH WE MAY HAVE A HARD TIME MODELING THESE NONLINEAR THRESHOLDS, WE AT 18 19 LEAST HAVE A BENCHMARK FOR WHERE OUR INFRASTRUCTURE 20 AND WHEN OUR INFRASTRUCTURE WON'T BE EFFECTIVE. 21 DR. KIRSHEN: I SOMEWHAT ANSWERED THIS QUESTION IN MY FIRST ANSWER BECAUSE I MISUNDERSTOOD 22 23 THE FIRST QUESTION. 24 BUT IN TERMS OF INFRASTRUCTURE, AS I SAID 25 EARLIER, INFRASTRUCTURE LASTS A LONG TIME. THE TIME 0489 1 TO CONSIDER ADAPTING IT IS RIGHT NOW. BUT I DO WANT TO SAY THAT, , AT LEAST IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL 2 COMMUNITY, "ADAPTATION" WAS A REAL DIRTY WORD FOR A 3 LONG TIME. AND WHEN I STARTED TALKING ABOUT 4 5 ADAPTATION, 5 OR 6 YEARS AGO, ALL

6 IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMUNITY SAID: NO, NO, NO, YOU CAN'T GIVE UP, YOU CAN'T GIVE UP MITIGATION. 7 Т THINK THE FIRST THING WE HAVE TO DO IS ACCEPT 8 ADAPTATION IS GOING TO BE NECESSARY. OBVIOUSLY, THAT 9 GOES HAND IN HAND WITH MITIGATION. THESE IMPACTS ARE 10 11 GOING TO GO WELL BEYOND THE NEXT CENTURY. I THINK THE FIRST STEP IS ADMITTING ADAPTATION IS GOING TO BE 12 13 NECESSARY. 14 THE OTHER THING IS, ONCE WE ADMIT 15 ADAPTATION IS GOING TO BE NECESSARY, I THINK WE'RE 16 GOING TO FIND THAT A LOT OF ADAPTATION ACTIONS ARE 17 GOING TO HAVE A LOT OF CO-BENEFITS RIGHT NOW IN TERMS OF DEALING 18 WITH A LOT OF OUR PRESENT ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS, AND 19 THIS HAS BEEN ACTUALLY FAIRLY WELL DOCUMENTED. SO I 20 THINK WE NEED TO ACTUALLY START THINKING ABOUT 21 ADAPTATION IN A CONSTRUCTIVE WAY, JUST SO THE 22 ECONOMICS AND BENEFITS WILL MAKE IT ATTRACTIVE TO 23 PEOPLE TO START TAKING ACTION. 24 DR. MILES: CHRIS. DR. FIELD: I THINK IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT 25 0490 TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN WHAT OUR OPTIONS ARE FOR 1 2 ADAPTING THESE UNMANAGED PARTS OF THE WORLD SYSTEM AND THE OCEANS AND THE ECOSYSTEMS AND THE HUMAN 3 4 COMPONENTS WITH THE MANAGED PART. YOU KNOW, 5 REALISTICALLY WE'RE JUST GOING TO HAVE TO PRIORITIZE AND PRIORITIZE. THERE ARE GOING TO BE A FEW THINGS 6 7 WE CAN SAY, AND IT'S GOING TO BE, YOU KNOW, GENE POOL REPOSITORIES, IT'S GOING TO BE ZOOS, IT'S GOING TO BE 8 PRESERVES, BUT THAT WE'RE NOT JUST GOING TO ADAPT THE 9 10 WHOLE WORLD. 11 WITH HUMANS I THINK THAT THERE ARE REALLY 12 TWO ISSUES: THAT PROBABLY THE MOST IMPORTANT ONE IS THAT THERE'S THIS INCREDIBLY NONUNIFORM DISTRIBUTION 13 OF ADAPTIVE CAPACITY; AND I DON'T THINK AS A GLOBAL 14 15 COMMUNITY WE HAVE THOUGHT VERY HARD AT ALL ABOUT HOW 16 WE'RE GOING TO PROVIDE A DISTRIBUTION OF ADAPTIVE CAPACITY THAT IS MORE CONSISTENT WITH THE 17 18 DISTRIBUTION OF EXPOSURE. I WISH I HAD A PROPOSAL ABOUT HOW TO DO THAT, BUT I THINK THAT'S THE PROBLEM 19 20 THAT WE REALLY NEED TO FIND A WAY TO GRAPPLE WITH. 21 DR. MILES: DAVID. 22 DR. LOBELL: IN THE CONTEXT OF AGRICULTURE, 23 I THINK IN TERMS OF BULLET ANSWERS, I WOULD SAY THREE THINGS ARE REALLY NEEDED: 24 25 ONE IS JUST MORE CONVERSATION BETWEEN THE 0491 COMMUNITIES THAT ARE REALLY GOING TO BE DIRECTLY 1 INVOLVED WITH THE ADAPTATION WORK AND THE CLIMATE 2 COMMUNITY. I HAVE HAD A FEW OPPORTUNITIES THIS LAST 3 YEAR TO TALK TO SOME OF THESE GROUPS, AND I'M ALWAYS 4 SURPRISED AT HOW SURPRISED THEY ARE ABOUT THE STATE 5 6 OF CLIMATE SCIENCE AND WHAT WE KNOW ABOUT HOW 7 TEMPERATURE'S CHANGING. JUST THE MAGNITUDE OF CHANGE

8 OVER THE NEXT 50, 100 YEARS REALLY BLOWS THEM AWAY EVEN NOW. THEY JUST DON'T SPEND THAT MUCH TIME 9 THINKING ABOUT IT. AND THE RAINFALL PROJECTIONS, 10 11 TOO, IN A LOT OF CASES; THEY'RE BLOWN AWAY BY THAT. AND THESE ARE, YOU KNOW, PEOPLE IN HIGH LEVELS THAT 12 13 REALLY NEED TO BE THINKING ABOUT THIS STUFF, AND WE 14 NEED TO BE INTERACTING WITH THEM MORE. 15 SO THE SECOND THING I WOULD SAY IS THAT THE 16 SCALE OF INVESTMENT NEEDS TO JUST BE SO MUCH GREATER. 17 AND I TALKED A LITTLE ABOUT THAT IN MY PRESENTATION. NOT 18 ONLY THE MAGNITUDE, BUT ALSO SUSTAINED INVESTMENT. 19 THAT GOES ALONG WITH WHAT RALPH KEELING WAS TALKING 20 ABOUT YESTERDAY, IS THAT, AS IN MONITORING, 21 ATMOSPHERIC MEASUREMENTS, DEVELOPING AGRICULTURAL 22 TECHNOLOGIES REALLY REQUIRES SUSTAINED MEASUREMENT 23 AND MONITORING AND EXPERIMENTATION AND THINGS LIKE 24 THAT. 25 FINALLY, THE THIRD THING THAT I THINK WE 0492 1 REALLY NEED TO THINK HARD ON IS PRIORITIZING BECAUSE 2 WE'RE NOT REALLY GOING TO BE ABLE TO ADAPT EVERYTHING EVERYWHERE.. AND SO THE QUESTION REALLY COMES DOWN TO ,, 4 WHERE ARE OUR PRIORITIES, WHERE DO WE 5, REALLY FEEL LIKE WE CAN HAVE THE BEST BANG FOR THE 6 7 BUCK, AND THINK HARD ACROSS DIFFERENT DISCIPLINES 8 ABOUT WHERE WE SHOULD BE ADAPTING. DR. MILES: VICKI. 9 10 DR. FABRY: WITH REGARD TO THE OCEANS, I THINK WE COULD CONTROL WHAT WE CAN. FOR INSTANCE, WE 11 12 SHOULD TRY TO RELIEVE FISHING PRESSURE, DECREASE 13 DEGRADATION OF THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT WHEREVER WE 14 CAN, RELIEVE SOME OF THE OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL 15 STRESSORS THAT ARE ON ORGANISMS SO THAT THEY MIGHT 16 HAVE A BETTER CHANCE OF ADAPTING THEMSELVES AND 17 SURVIVING CLIMATE CHANGE AND OCEAN ACIDIFICATION. 18 MAYBE SETTING UP INCREASED AREAS FOR MARINE PROTECTED 19 AREAS AND HAVING MANAGERS WATCH OVER CERTAIN AREAS. 20 BUT THAT'S GOING TO BE FAIRLY LOCAL. 21 I THINK THAT IF WE ACCEPT THAT THIS IS A 22 CRISIS, THEN WE HAVE TO, INDEED, PUT ALL MITIGATION STRATEGIES ON THE TABLE, NO MATTER HOW UNPLEASANT 23 THEY MIGHT AT FIRST SOUND. AND IT MAY BE TIME TO DO 24 25 THAT. MAYBE IT'S PAST TIME. WE SHOULD HAVE ALREADY 0493 BEEN DOING THAT. AND HAVE A MULTIDISCIPLINARY 1 2 DISCUSSION OF THE PROS AND CONS, THE BENEFITS, THE DISADVANTAGES OF EACH METHOD AND SEE WHAT WE CAN DO. 3 BUT I AGREE WITH DAVID THAT I THINK IT WILL 4 COME DOWN TO PRIORITIZING. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO 5 MAKE A LOT OF DIFFICULT DECISIONS, AND THERE WILL BE 6 7 WINNERS AND LOSERS. 8 DR. MILES: SCOTT. 9 DR. DONEY: A COUPLE OF DIVERSE THOUGHTS. THE FIRST, AND DAVID KEITH WILL PROBABLY 10

TALK ABOUT THIS A LITTLE TOMORROW, IS THE CHALLENGE 11 OF ACIDIFICATION IS VERY DIFFICULT TO MITIGATE 12 DIRECTLY OTHER THAN BY REDUCING EMISSIONS. 13 THERE 14 AREN'T OBVIOUS GEOTECHNICAL SOLUTIONS THAT AREN'T VAST IN SCALE IN TERMS OF MAINTAINING OCEAN 15 16 ALKALINITY. 17 I'LL FOCUS A FAIR BIT ON ECOSYSTEM SERVICES AND THE ADAPTATIONS THAT HUMANS ARE GOING TO HAVE TO 18 19 MAKE ON FISHING AND FISHERIES. I SEE TWO SIDES TO 20 THERE'S THE BASICALLY FOLDING CLIMATE THAT. 21 INFORMATION MORE READILY INTO FISHING PLANTS AND 22 ACIDIFICATION INFORMATION INTO FISHING PLANTS, AS IS STARTING TO BE DONE BY SOME OF THE U.S. FISHERY 23 COUNCILS, BUT NOT ALL OF THEM. I THINK RIGHT NOW 24 25 THAT WITHOUT FOLDING THAT INFORMATION IN, THEY'RE 0494 1 GAMBLING THAT THE PAST WILL LOOK LIKE THE FUTURE, AND IT WON'T. AND SO THAT THERE'S AN INCREASE IN THE 2 LIKELIHOOD THAT THEY WILL RESULT IN THE COLLAPSE OF 3 FISHERIES, EVEN WITH THE BEST INTENTIONS OF THE 4 5 MANAGEMENT CUSTODIAN, BECAUSE THEY'RE JUST LOOKING AT DIFFERENT CONDITIONS. 6 AND I THINK THE OTHER THING IS THE SOCIAL 7 AND ECONOMIC FORCES THAT KEEP US MAINTAINING 8 9 FISHERIES THAT AREN'T SUSTAINABLE. WE NEED TO 10 DEVELOP MODELS TO ALLOW COMMUNITIES TO ADAPT BEFORE THE FISHERY ACTUALLY COLLAPSES AND BE MUCH MORE 11 12 PROACTIVE ON THAT SIDE OF PROVIDING THE RESOURCES, RETRAINING, CAPITAL TO TAKE FISHERIES THAT ARE LOOKED 13 TO BE ON THE EDGE, ON THE EDGE OF A BIOGEOGRAPHIC 14 REGIME. WE KNOW THAT SOME OF THESE ECOSYSTEMS ARE 15 16 GOING TO SHIFT POLEWARD. AND YOU REALLY WANT TO LOOK 17 AT THE EDGES OF THE FISHERY BOUNDARIES, BECAUSE THAT'S WHERE THE HIGHEST IMPACT FROM CLIMATE IS 18 19 PROBABLY GOING TO BE, AND GET THOSE FOLKS OUT INTO 20 ANOTHER PRODUCTIVE ACTIVITY, EITHER ANOTHER FISHERY OR ANOTHER 21 ECONOMIC RESOURCE BEFORE YOU DESTROY WHAT'S LEFT OF 22 THE FISHERY. 23 DR. MILES: DICK. 24 DR. FEELY: TO GIVE A LITTLE DIFFERENT 25 PERSPECTIVE, I THINK IT'S NECESSARY FOR US TO LOOK AT 0495 SOME NEW TECHNOLOGIES AND NEW APPROACHES TO REDUCE 1 2 THE RISK OF THE CARBON FOOTPRINT, AT THE SAME TIME PROVIDING AN ECONOMIC INCENTIVE FOR DEVELOPING THESE 3 4 NEW TECHNOLOGIES THAT WILL HELP REDUCE THE ECOSYSTEM 5 RISKS, AS WELL. 6 ONE SUCH TECHNOLOGY THAT I THINK IS FAIRLY 7 INTRIGUING IS ELECTROLYSIS OF SEAWATER. THIS 8 APPROACH HAS BEEN APPLIED TO SEAWATER WITH POSITIVE 9 IMPACTS AND INCREASE IN THE ALKALINITY SURROUNDING CORAL REEF SYSTEMS. IT ALSO HAS THE IMPACT OF 10 11 HELPING CORAL REEF SYSTEMS GETTING THROUGH THE 12 WARMING EVENTS OF EL NINOS AND OTHER IMPACTS, AS

13 WELL; AND IT HAS THE POTENTIAL, ALSO, OF PROVIDING NEW ENERGY SOURCES IN THE FORM OF HYDROGEN, AND THAT 14 15 MAY PROVIDE US WITH AN ECONOMIC APPROACH TO ATTRACT 16 COMPANIES TO BUILD SUCH SYSTEMS. ONE OF THE DOWNFALLS OF THE ELECTROLYSIS OF 17 SEAWATER, IS THAT IT IS HIGHLY ENERGY INTENSIVE, AND THERE'S 18 19 A LOT OF CONCERNS ABOUT THAT; BUT PERHAPS IF WE CAN 20 COMBINE THIS WITH, FOR EXAMPLE, WIND POWER OR SOLAR 21 POWER, WE CAN STILL REDUCE OUR CARBON IMPRINT AND 22 MAKE THIS A VERY ATTRACTIVE AND ECONOMICALLY FEASIBLE 23 WAY TO HELP OUR ECOSYSTEMS. 24 SOME PEOPLE HAVE SUGGEST (ED) THAT IT WOULD TAKE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF ABOUT 700 PLANTS GLOBALLY TO 25 0496 1 PURSUE SUCH AN OPTION, AND THIS WOULD PROVIDE ONE WEDGE. SO I THINK A NUMBER OF TECHNOLOGY APPROACHES LIKE THIS ONE MAY 2 PROVIDE US WITH SOME SOLUTIONS THAT WE CAN REALLY 3 4 WORK WITH. DR. MILES: THE NEXT QUESTION IS REALLY 5 DIFFICULT, AND ACTUALLY IT'S ANOTHER QUESTION THAT 6 7 RALPH RAISED AT THE BEGINNING WHEN HE TALKED ABOUT 8 WHAT IS DANGEROUS CHANGE. THE QUESTION IS: HOW MUCH TIME DO WE HAVE TO ACT? AND THAT RELATES TO 9 THRESHOLDS. WE SEE THINGS COMING MUCH MORE QUICKLY 10 11 THAN WE HAD ANTICIPATED. IT WAS ASSUMED WHEN THE FRAMEWORK CONVENTION WAS NEGOTIATED THAT DOUBLING THE 12 13 PRE-INDUSTRIAL CONCENTRATION WAS A REASONABLE WAY OF 14 DEFINING WHAT DANGEROUS WAS. THAT IS A VERY 15 OUESTIONABLE ASSUMPTION. SO GIVEN WHAT WE KNOW NOW ABOUT THRESHOLDS ON A NUMBER OF DIMENSIONS, WHICH 16 HAVE BEEN DISCUSSED, FLOODING, FIRES, DROUGHTS, A 17 18 NUMBER OF EXTREME EVENTS, HOW MUCH TIME DO WE HAVE TO 19 MAKE THESE DECISIONS? AND WHAT IS TO BE DONE, WHEN? 20 YOU'RE FIRST, SCOTT. DR. DONEY: I THINK DAVID SHOULD GO FIRST. 21 22 DR. LOBELL: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO. 23 DR. FEELY: THIS IS ONE OF THE MORE DIFFICULT QUESTIONS. I THINK WITHIN THE OCEAN 24 25 ENVIRONMENT. I THINK THE COMMENTS THAT SCOTT MADE 0497 EARLIER TODAY ADD UP TO A NEED TO HAVE SOME CLEAR 1 2 UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROCESSES TO GET A GOOD PERSPECTIVE ON WHAT THE OVERALL THRESHOLDS ARE. 3 FOR OCEAN PH, FOR EXAMPLE, THERE HAVE() BEEN SOME 4 SUGGESTIONS THAT A THRESHOLD OF .2 PHFROM THE 5 PRE-INDUSTRIAL ERA, WHICH REPRESENTS AN ATMOSPHERIC CO2 6 7 LEVEL OF ABOUT 500 PARTS PER MILLION, IS ONE THRESHOLD. THAT MEANS THAT WE HAVE TO BEGIN TO WORK 8 VERY SOON, WITHIN THE NEXT 10 YEARS, TO MAKE SURE 9 10 THAT WE CAN STABILIZE CO2, TO NOT GO BEYOND SUCH A 11 THRESHOLD. I'M NOT AT ALL CONVINCED THAT WE KNOW 12 EXACTLY WHAT THOSE THRESHOLDS ARE YET, BUT IT'S FAIRLY CLEAR THAT IF WE GO MUCH BEYOND THAT 13 14 PARTICULAR THRESHOLD, THAT WE WILL HAVE SOME SERIOUS

15 PROBLEMS WITH OUR OCEAN ECOSYSTEMS. SO THAT AT LEAST GIVES US A STARTING POINT FOR DISCUSSIONS AND A 16 STARTING POINT TO WORK ON THIS PROBLEM. SO I WOULD 17 18 SAY THAT WITHIN THE NEXT 10 YEARS OR SO IS A VERY 19 CLEAR REQUIREMENT. 20 DR. MILES: THANK YOU. 21 SCOTT. 22 DR. DONEY: WELL, I GUESS I WILL SORT OF 23 TURN IT AROUND A LITTLE BIT. YOU KNOW, ONE OF THE 24 THINGS IS THAT WE KNOW WE'RE ALREADY COMMITTED, EVEN 25 IF WE WERE TO SHUT OFF EMISSIONS TODAY, WE'RE ALREADY 0498 1 COMMITTED TO FURTHER WARMING, FURTHER OCEAN ACIDIFICATION, AND FURTHER SEA LEVEL RISE. AND WHEN 2 3 YOU COMBINE THAT WITH THE TIME LAGS IN THE 4 INFRASTRUCTURE, ECHOING SOME OF THE COMMENTS OF PAUL 5 AND CHRIS, THAT I GET WORRIED WHEN YOU SAY, WELL, WE NEED TO MAKE A DECISION IN 10 YEARS BECAUSE THE TIME 6 7 LAGS FOR IMPLEMENTING THESE TECHNOLOGIES AND THE COST OF NOT MOVING FORWARD, WHERE YOU'RE BUILDING 8 9 INFRASTRUCTURE NOW THAT'S NOT THE RIGHT INFRASTRUCTURE, BUT THAT INFRASTRUCTURE IS GOING TO 10 STICK AROUND FOR 50 YEARS. I THINK RATHER THAN SORT 11 OF POSING IT AS, YOU KNOW, WHEN DO WE NEED TO MAKE 12 THE DECISION, I THINK YOU START MAKING DECISIONS NOW 13 14 ABOUT WHAT'S THE LOW-HANGING FRUIT, WHAT ARE THE TECHNOLOGIES YOU COULD IMPLEMENT NOW, WHAT ARE THE 15 TECHNOLOGIES YOU WANT TO START TO INVEST IN NOW. 16 AND 17 SO I THINK THE ANSWER IS WE PROBABLY WANTED TO BE MAKING THESE DECISIONS 5 YEARS AGO. SO I'LL SAY 18 19 MINUS 5. 20 DR. MILES: VICKI. 21 DR. FABRY: I'LL ADDRESS THE THRESHOLDS, 22 THE QUESTION OF THRESHOLDS. AND TODAY I TALKED ABOUT CALCIFICATION, OCEAN ACIDIFICATION. I THINK THERE IS 23 A DEFINITE LINK THERE WITH THE EXCEPTION OF SOME 24 25 COCCOLITHOPHORE SPECIES, ALL CALCIFIERS THAT HAVE 0499 BEEN INVESTIGATED TO DATE SHOW A DECREASED RATE OF 1 CALCIFICATION AT ELEVATED P2. THAT IS A NICE 2 NONLINEAR SYSTEM. YOU HAVE CALCIFICATION, AND THEN 3 4 YOU HAVE DISSOLUTION AND CLEAR THRESHOLD. AND WE SEE 5 THAT WITH THE CORALS WHICHHAPPENS TO BE AROUND THAT DELTA OF 0.2 PH UNITS, AS DICK WAS MENTIONING. 6 BUT I THINK IN A WAY THAT GIVES US KIND OF A FALSE 7 8 SECURITY BECAUSE THAT SHOULD BE THE HIGH END. THERE 9 COULD BE OTHER IMPACTS THAT WE'RE NOT SEEING THAT 10 COULD BE HAPPENING ALREADY THAT HAVE TO DO WITH CHRONIC EXPOSURE TO ELEVATED CO2 AND WE JUST AREN'T 11 12 ABLE TO MEASURE IT. SO PROBABLY THAT IS TOO HIGH, 13 AND WE WOULD NEED TO BE BELOW 500. SO, IN THAT SENSE, I AGREE WITH SCOTT; WE 14 NEED TO -- SHOULD HAVE STARTED SOME TIME AGO, BUT 15 16 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO STABILIZE AND THEN REDUCE

17 EMISSIONS -- I MEAN REDUCE THE CO2 CONCENTRATION IN 18 THE ATMOSPHERE. 19 DR. MILES: DAVID. 20 DR. LOBELL: SO I GUESS I'M JUST GOING TO 21 TACKLE THE ADAPTATION QUESTION BECAUSE I THINK FROM 22 AN AGRICULTURE STANDPOINT, LIKE SCOTT SAID, THE NEXT 50 YEARS WE'RE PRETTY MUCH COMMITTED TO MORE OR LESS 23 24 WHAT THE CLIMATE IS GOING TO BE DOING, AND THOSE ARE 25 REALLY THE TIME SCALES OF AGRICULTURAL DECISIONS. 0500 1 SO I THINK, ALSO, TO POINT OUT -- I MEAN, 2 THIS HAS BEEN SAID MANY TIMES -- BUT THIS IS NOT JUST A QUESTION FOR SCIENCE, OBVIOUSLY. AND I'M ALWAYS 3 CAREFUL NOT TO IMPOSE MY VALUES ON THESE KINDS OF 4 5 QUESTIONS. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE STUFF I SHOWED 6 TODAY, ONE QUESTION WOULD BE: HOW MUCH DO 7 WE CARE ABOUT A PLACE LIKE SOUTH AFRICA? IF THE ANSWER IS VERY MUCH, THEN I THINK IT'S VERY CLEAR 8 9 THAT WE HAVE TO BE DOING SOMETHING RIGHT NOW BECAUSE 10 THE LAGS IN THE SYSTEM THAT ARE REQUIRED TO ADAPT TO 11 THE MAGNITUDES OF CHANGES THAT WE'RE SEEING IS GOING TO BE SUCH THAT IT'S GOING TO BE REALLY STRUGGLING TO 12 13 KEEP UP WITH THE PACE OF CLIMATE CHANGE. THERE ARE 14 OTHER AREAS WHERE IT'S NOT QUITE AS EXTREME, BUT THERE ARE CERTAINLY A PRETTY SUBSTANTIAL LIST OF 15 PLACES WHERE, I THINK, ADAPTATION NEEDS TO BE 16 17 HAPPENING FIVE YEARS AGO, ALSO. 18 DR. FIELD: LET ME CHANGE THE FRAMEWORK 19 JUST A LITTLE BIT. 20 I'M CONCERNED THAT THE IDEA THAT WE CAN 21 IDENTIFY A RIGHT LINE BETWEEN WHAT'S DANGEROUS AND 22 WHAT'S NOT IS EVENTUALLY GOING TO WORK TO OUR DISADVANTAGE. I THINK THERE IS SIGNIFICANT RISK THAT 23 AS PUBLIC APPRECIATION THAT WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE 24 25 ABLE TO STAY BELOW SOME TARGET EMERGES, THAT WE MIGHT 0501 BE BESET WITH A SENSE OF DESPAIR AND SORT OF GIVE UP 1 ON THE WHOLE PROBLEM. 2 3 I VIEW THE "DANGEROUS ANTHROPOGENIC 4 INTERFERENCE" LANGUAGE IN THE FRAMEWORK CONVENTION AS BOTH A BLESSING, IT'S HELPED FOCUS ATTENTION, BUT 5 IT'S INCREASINGLY, I THINK, EMERGING AS A HOBBLE. 6 7 AND FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, WHAT WE NEED TO RECOGNIZE IS THAT STABILIZING CO2 AT 500 IS BETTER THAN STABILIZING 8 9 AT 550; STABILIZING AT 550 IS BETTER THAN STABILIZING AT 600; STABILIZINGS AT 750 IS BETTER THAN 10 11 STABILIZING AT 800. WE NEED TO BE WORKING AS HARD AS WE CAN TO FIND A WAY TO MOVE TOWARDS 12 13 STABILIZATION, DOING IT IN A 14 FRAMEWORK WHERE THE FAILURE TO MEET THE MOST 15 AGGRESSIVE TARGET DOESN'T MEAN WE GIVE UP THE 16 STRUGGLE. IT MEANS THAT WE WORK HARDER NOT TO PASS 17 THE NEXT TARGET.

18 DR. KIRSHEN: THIS IS A QUESTION I HAVEN'T 19 EVEN THOUGHT TOO MUCH ABOUT, BUT I CERTAINLY AGREE WITH WHAT MY COLLEAGUES ARE SAYING. 20 21 I THINK ALL I CAN SAY, THOUGH, THE ONE THING I'M VERY SURE ON, IS TO START MOBILIZING PUBLIC 22 23 OPINION RIGHT NOW AND GET THEM ALL INVOLVED IN THIS DISCUSSION, BECAUSE WE'RE REALLY NOT GOING TO HAVE 24 25 ANY ACTION UNTIL MORE OF THE PUBLIC IS INVOLVED AND 0502 BEHIND THIS ISSUE. I ALSO WANT TO SAY THAT 1 2 I DO SEE SIGNS OF ENCOURAGEMENT. I JUST GOT A PHONE CALL FROM SOMEONE IN MINNESOTA THIS MORNING. 3 THEY WANT TO HAVE A BIG CONFERENCE ON CLIMATE CHANGE IN 4 5 MINNESOTA ABOUT ADAPTATION AND MITIGATION AND WHAT WE SHOULD BE DOING. SO I THINK THE PUBLIC IS READY TO 6 7 BE CAPTURED, AND WE NEED TO PROVIDE LEADERSHIP ON 8 THIS ISSUE. DR. MILES: I DON'T THINK IT WOULD BE WISE 9 TO TALK PUBLICLY ABOUT CAPTURING THE PUBLIC; WE WANT TO 10 11 INFORM THE PUBLIC. 12 DR. KIRSHEN: STRIKE THAT FROM THE RECORD, 13 PLEASE. DR. SCHUUR: I GUESS AT THE END OF THE LINE 14 15 HERE, I JUST WOULD ECHO CHRIS' WORDS A MINUTE 16 AGO THAT ALTHOUGH THERE ARE THRESHOLDS IN THE EARTH 17 SYSTEM, THIS IS KIND OF A CONTINUOUS PROCESS, WHERE THERE'S NOT ONE LINE THAT'S SAFE AND 19 ANOTHER THAT IS DANGEROUS. 20 I GUESS ONE THING I THINK ABOUT IS THAT 21 PEOPLE DO RESPOND TO ECONOMICS, AND MAYBE THE HIGHER WE GO, THE MORE IT MAY COST US AS WE HAVE 22 23 TO MOVE OUR INFRASTRUCTURE. SO WE MAY BE ABLE TO ASSOCIATE NOT A SINGLE TARGET, BUT A TARGET THAT 24 25 IF WE PREDICT OUT SEA LEVEL RISE, WE'RE GOING 0503 TO INCUR THIS MUCH COST IF WE GET TO THIS LEVEL; IF 1 2 WE KEEP GOING TO THE NEXT LEVEL, WE CAN DO THAT, BUT IT IS GOING TO INCUR MORE COSTS. NOT EVERY PART OF 3 THE SYSTEM CAN BE PUT INTO DOLLARS AND CENTS, BUT 4 THAT MIGHT BE A USEFUL WAY TO CONNECT "DANGEROUS" AND 5 6 "SAFE" TO WHAT IS GOING TO BE MORE EXPENSIVE FOR OUR 7 ECONOMY OR LESS EXPENSIVE. THAT MIGHT BE A CONCEPT TO MOVE TOWARDS OR TIE INTO THIS DISCUSSION. 8 9 DR. MILES: I'VE COME TO THE LAST QUESTION. WE HAVE A SITUATION IN WHICH THERE'S SERIOUS CAUSE 10 11 FOR CONCERN, AND IPCC WORKING GROUP I DID A VERY 12 BEAUTIFUL JOB IN LAYING THIS OUT CLEARLY. WE HAVE A 13 DIFFICULT QUESTION IN OUR DISCUSSION WITH THE POLITICAL SYSTEM AND THE PUBLIC OVER THE UNCERTAINTY IN 14 15 RELATION TO THE LEVEL OF RISK THAT ONE MIGHT BE 16 WILLING TO ACCEPT. ONE OF THE INTERESTING 17 THINGS TO NOTE, AS THE PENDULUM HAS SWUNG, IS THE RECENT INTEREST OF THE INSURANCE COMPANIES AND THE 18 19 REINSURANCE COMPANIES IN THAT VERY QUESTION. DURING THE FIRST NATIONAL

ASSESSMENT IN THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST. ONE LARGE 20 COMPANY ALMOST RAN ME OUT THE DOOR AND TOLD ME, GO 21 22 TALK TO FEMA, WE DON'T HOLD THE PAPER ON THIS. AND 23 THEN THE LAST THING THAT WAS SAID WAS THAT HE WOULD BE GODDAMNED IF HE WOULD DO ANYTHING TO HELP 24 25 AL GORE BE ELECTED PRESIDENT. THAT'S AN ODD WAY OF 0504 DEALING WITH RISK IN AN INSURANCE COMPANY, I THOUGHT. 1 2 BUT NOW THEY'RE COMING TO US WITH A FLOOD OF QUESTIONS. IN A WAY THAT IS GRATIFYINGBECAUSE IT SHOWS 3 4 THEY GET IT, BUT WE HAVE TO DO BETTER ON DEALING WITH UNCERTAINTY. SO I TURN TO THE LAST QUESTION: 5 GIVEN THE WORK YOU'VE BEEN DOING AND THE PROBLEMS 6 7 YOU'VE SEEN, WHAT ADDITIONAL AND/OR REVISED 8 APPROACHES TO CARBON CYCLE MEASUREMENT DO WE NEED TO 9 GET ON WITH? DR. FEELY: WITHIN THE OCEAN ENVIRONMENT 10 11 IN WHICH OCEAN ACIDIFICATION IS ONE OF THE MAJOR THEMES, WE SEEM TO HAVE FOCUSED PRIMARILY ON 12 13 THE MEASUREMENT OF PCO2 EXCLUSIVELY IN THE SURFACE 14 OCEANS; AND IN DOING SO, WE'VE MISSED THE OTHER 15 COMPONENTS OF THE CARBON SYSTEM ALTOGETHER. IT'S CLEAR NOW THAT IN ORDER TO UNDERSTAND THE OCEAN 16 17 ACIDIFICATION PROCESSES, WE NEED TO MAKE AT LEAST TWO 18 MEASUREMENTS OF THE CARBON SYSTEM; THAT IS, PCO2 AND ALKALINITY BECAUSE THESE ARE AT LEAST TWO COMPONENTS THAT ALLOW US 19 20 TO MEASURE AND QUANTIFY HOW THE CARBON SYSTEM IS 21 CHANGING OVER TIME. 22 SO ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS I WOULD HAVE 23 IS THAT OUR MONITORING EFFORTS FOR THE CARBON SYSTEM 24 OF THE OCEANS SHOULD INCLUDE AT LEAST TWO COMPONENTS 25 OF THE CARBON SYSTEM. 0505 DR. DONEY: I WILL PUT ON MY NUMERICAL 1 MODELING HAT AND USE YOUR WORD "MEASUREMENT" IN A 2 3 VERY BROAD SENSE. I GUESS ALMOST FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF SOCIAL SCIENCE, THAT IS 4 SCIENCE AS A SOCIAL ENTERPRISE, I THINK WE NEED TO STOP 5 6 TREATING APPLIED SCIENCE AS A DIRTY WORD, AND WE NEED 7 TO GET OUR BRIGHTEST YOUNG FACULTY MEMBERS WORKING ON 8 MUCH MORE APPLIED PROBLEMS AND NOT DISCOURAGE THEMFROM DOING SO. 9 I SEE THAT AS A REALLY SERIOUS PROBLEM 10 HINDERING OUR ABILITY TO GET FUNDS TO DO WORK THAT IS MORE RELEVANT ΤO 11 DECISION MAKERS. THE SECOND THING IS ON THE SORT OF MODELING 12 13 YOU KNOW, WE'RE VERY GOOD AT MAKING SIDE. LARGE-SCALE BASIC MAPS OF WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN. 14 Т THINK WE NEED TO START MAKING FORECASTS AT THE SCALE 15 16 RELEVANT FOR DECISION MAKERS, THAT IS SMALLER SCALES 17 FOR DECENTRALIZED DECISIONS AT THE STATE LEVEL, THE LOCAL LEVEL, THE CORPORATE LEVEL. WE ALSO NEED TO 18 19 PROVIDE THE NEW INFORMATION IN A WAY THAT IS MORE 20 USEFUL FOR THEM. I THINK IT'S NOT JUST HOW YOU

21 PACKAGE THE SCIENCE; IT'S A DIFFERENT WAY OF THINKING ABOUT HOW YOU LOOK AT THE OBSERVATIONS, LOOKING AT 2.2 23 THE MODEL SIMULATIONS. 24 DR. MILES: THANK YOU. DR. FABRY: REGARDING THE OCEAN AND THE 25 0506 1 MEASUREMENTS WE MAKE IN THE OCEAN, IF WE WANT TO TRACK CLIMATE CHANGE AND OCEAN ACIDIFICATION, IT 2 3 REALLY NEEDS TO BE AN INTERNATIONAL PROGRAM. WE NEED TO CREATE A GLOBAL NETWORK OF OBSERVATIONS; AND KEY 4 5 TO THAT WOULD BE STANDARDIZED PROTOCOLS, PARTICULARLY FOR BIOLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS. WE HAVE THIS FOR THE CO2 6 SYSTEM IN SEAWATER. AND DICK, SCOTT, OTHERS HAVE 7 8 DONE A WONDERFUL JOB OF MEASURING CO2 IN THE WORLD 9 OCEANS. THAT COLLABORATION HAS WORKED WELL 10 MAINLY BECAUSE THEY HAVE DONE THE INTERCOMPARISON 11 STUDIES, SO THEY HAVE GOOD ACCURACY, GOOD PRECISION. WE DON'T HAVE THAT IN BIOLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS. SO, 12 13 IF, FOR EXAMPLE, I MAKE A CALCIFICATION RATE 14 MEASUREMENT AND MY COLLEAGUE DOES SOMETHING 15 DIFFERENT, WE DON'T KNOW IF IT'S A TRUE DIFFERENCE OR 16 JUST A METHODOLOGICAL DIFFERENCE. SO WE NEED TO STANDARDIZE PROTOCOLS FOR THESE BIOLOGICAL RATE 17 18 MEASUREMENTS SO WE CAN COMPARE THEM IN SPACE AND 19 TIME. ALSO, WE DO NEED TO INVEST IN LONG-TERM 20 MONITORING; AND RALPH REALLY MADE THAT TOPIC POINT VERY 21 22 ELOQUENTLY YESTERDAY, I THINK. "MONITORING" HAS TO 23 STOP BEING A DIRTY WORD FOR OUR FUNDING AGENCIES. 24 I THINK WE HAVE TO INVEST IN NEW 25 TECHNOLOGIES, AS WELL. IF WE COULD LOOK AT GENE 0507 EXPRESSION FOR A VARIETY OF ORGANISMS IN THE FIELD, 1 THEN WE WOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE THESE SMALL LAB 2 EXPERIMENTS, FOR EXAMPLE, AND THAT WOULD BE MORE 3 IDEAL. 4 5 I THINK, TO FOLLOW UP ON WHAT SCOTT SAID, IT IS IMPORTANT TO BRING IN THE BUSINESS 6 7 COMMUNITY, AND IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE FOURTH ASSESSMENT HAS BEEN MUCH MORE SPECIFIC THAN THE 8 9 PREVIOUS ONES. IT'S THAT SPECIFICITY, I THINK, 10 THAT ENABLES THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY TO PLAN AHEAD. 11 WE'RE GOING TONEED THAT. IN SOME WAYS 12 SOMETIMES I THINK WE HAVE BEEN LOOKING FOR GOVERNMENTS TO SOLVE OUR PROBLEMS WITH GLOBAL 13 14 WARMING; AND IT MAY, IN FACT, COME FROM THE PRIVATE 15 SECTOR. 16 DR. LOBELL: I THINK I'LL BROADEN THE 17 QUESTION A LITTLE BIT BECAUSE OTHERWISE I DON'T THINK 18 I HAVE AN INTELLIGENT ANSWER. FOR TERRESTRIAL CARBON 19 CYCLE MEASUREMENTS, THERE'S PLENTY OF PEOPLE THAT ARE 20 BETTER SUITED TO ANSWER THAT. 21 I THINK, AGAIN, FROM AN AGRICULTURAL 22 PERSPECTIVE, SOME OF THE KEY THINGS THAT I THINK

23 WE'RE MISSING FROM THE MEASUREMENT/EXPERIMENTAL SIDE ARE MULTIFACTOR EXPERIMENTS WHERE WE'RE LOOKING AT 2.4 25 CO2, TEMPERATURE, OTHER FACTORS. AND I THINK CHRIS 0508 HAS PIONEERED THIS IN NATURAL ECOSYSTEMS; BUT IN 1 2 MANAGED ECOSYSTEMS, WE DON'T NECESSARILY HAVE LONG-TERM EXPERIMENTS TO REALLY UNDERSTAND THE 3 RESPONSES, THE INTERACTIVE RESPONSES TO THESE 4 5 FACTORS. 6 ALONG THOSE LINES, WE REALLY ARE LIMITED 7 RIGHT NOW, SURPRISINGLY, IN OUR ABILITY TO MONITOR AGRICULTURAL SYSTEMS FROM SPACE WITH HIGHER 8 RESOLUTION SATELLITE DATA. IN MY OWN WORK THAT HAS 9 BEEN A MAIN CONSTRAINT. SO I'LL THROW THAT OUT 10 11 THERE. THE OTHER BIG THING TO THINK MORE BROADLY 12 13 ABOUT IS HOW DO WE PRIORITIZE BOTH MITIGATION AND 14 ADAPTATION. IT IS VERY HARD RIGHT NOW TO SAY HOW 15 MUCH ADAPTATION IS ACTUALLY GOING ON AND MEASURING 16 THAT. THINKING OF WAYS TO MEASURE THAT IS IMPORTANT, 17 AND I DON'T KNOW IF I HAVE ANY GREAT IDEAS, BUT I 18 HAVE SOME IDEAS. I THINK WE NEED TO START THINKING MORE ABOUT HOW TO DO THAT. 19 20 DR. FIELD: IF WE FOCUS ON CARBON CYCLE MEASUREMENTS, I THINK THE CRITICAL QUESTION THAT WE 21 22 NEED TO RESOLVE WITH RESEARCH IS, IN 2060, IS THE 23 AIRBORNE FRACTION GOING TO BE .4? IS IT GOING TO BE 24 IS IT GOING TO BE 1.2? IS IT GOING TO BE .2? .8? AND AT THIS POINT WE GENUINELY DON'T HAVE A 25 0509 1 SUFFICIENT FOUNDATION IN EITHER THE OCEANS OR THE LAND IN ORDER TO CONSTRAIN IT WITHIN THAT RANGE. 2 IF WE LOOK AT THE LAND, THE FROZEN SOILS 3 TOPIC THAT TED ALREADY TALKED ABOUT IS SUBJECT TO 4 VAST UNCERTAINTY. TROPICAL RAINFORESTS, WE REALLY 5 6 DON'T KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THEY'RE CLOSE TO A 7 THRESHOLD WHERE ECOSYSTEMS THAT HAVE NEVER SUPPORTED WILDFIRE ALL OF A SUDDEN SUPPORT WILDFIRE. ACROSS 8 THE VAST STRETCHES OF THE BOREAL FOREST, WHERE 9 10 THERE'S SOME OF THE HIGHEST SOIL CARBON, WE DON'T 11 REALLY KNOW WHETHER WE'RE GOING TO SEE CHANGES IN THE 12 ABUNDANCE OF INSECT OUTBREAKS OR WILDFIRE THAT CAN 13 RESULT IN CONVERSION OF THOSE TO HIGH-LATITUDE STEPPES. 14 WE REALLY ARE AT A STAGE IN OUR 15 UNDERSTANDING OF THE RELEASE OF MAJOR RISKS FROM 16 TERRESTRIAL POOLS OR, ALTERNATIVELY, THE POSSIBILITY 17 OF MAJOR UPTAKE IN TERRESTRIAL POOLS, THAT IS FAR 18 FROM SATISFACTORY FOR ADDRESSING AN ISSUE AS SERIOUS ASTHIS. I THINK THAT THERE IS AN 19 20 OVERWHELMING NEED FOR A CONCENTRATED RESEARCH EFFORT 21 ON THE FUTURE TRAJECTORIES OF BOTH OCEAN AND LAND 22 CARBON STOCKS; AND I THINK THAT FROM THE PERSPECTIVE 23 OF THE CARBON CYCLE ISSUES THAT WE'RE ADDRESSING, 24 THERE IS NOTHING THAT WILL CONTRIBUTE MORE TO

25 INFORMED DISCUSSIONS ABOUT WHAT THE HUMAN ACTION 0510 1 SHOULD BE. 2 DR. KIRSHEN: FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO SUPPORT SCOTT'S COMMENT ABOUT THE NEED FOR MORE 3 4 FUNDING FOR APPLIED RESEARCH. IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO 5 FIND FUNDS TO ACTUALLY DOON-THE-GROUND ADAPTATION STUDIES BOTH HERE, AS WELL AS IN THE 6 7 DEVELOPING WORLD. 8 I'M GOING TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION ABOUT 9 MEASUREMENT WITH A LITTLE STORY, I GUESS. I WAS IN A MEETING LAST WEEK WITH SOME OFFICIALS, AND WE WERE 10 TALKING ABOUT CLIMATE CHANGE. THESE ARE GOVERNMENT 11 12 OFFICIALS, CITY OFFICIALS, AND THEY WERE SAYING, 13 WELL, WHEN SHOULD WE TAKE ACTION ABOUT CLIMATE 14 CHANGE? WHEN SHOULD WE WORRY ABOUT TRYING 15 TO PROTECT OUR COAST? SOMEONE IN THE ROOM SAID, WELL, JUST SORT OF MONITOR THE CHANGES, AND 16 17 THEN WHEN YOU FEEL THE TIME IS RIGHT, GO 18 AHEAD AND TAKE SOME ACTION. SO HE WAS TALKING ABOUT, 19 KEEPING TRACK OF SEA LEVELS AND THINGS LIKE THAT. I GUESS I FELT THAT'S NOT 20 REALLY THE RIGHT APPROACH, BECAUSE IF WE SORT OF WAIT 22 FOR IT TO HAPPEN, IT'S GOING TO HAPPEN, AND IT'S 23 24 GOING TO BE TOO LATE. I KEEP THINKING ABOUT KATRINA. 25 WE ALL KNEW IT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN. IT WAS A VERY 0511 VULNERABLE COMMUNITY. AND IF WE SORT OF KEEP WAITING 1 2 AND WAITING, THAT'S WHAT HAPPENS, IT'S REALLY TOO LATE. SO I THINK THIS ISN'T THE URGENCY OF KATRINA, 3 4 BECAUSE WE'RE NOT QUITE BELOW SEA LEVEL YET, BUT I 5 THINK WE CAN'T JUST WAIT AND WAIT AND WAIT AND WAIT FOR THESE CHANGES TO BECOME APPARENT. WE HAVE TO 6 REALIZE THAT THERE IS A LOT OF RISK AND UNCERTAINTY; 7 AND CERTAINLY TAKE THE MEASUREMENTS BECAUSE THEY 8 9 REINFORCE WHAT'S GOING ON, BUT WE CAN'T WAIT FOR THE 10 MEASUREMENTS TO ACT. DR. SCHUUR: WELL, I GUESS JUST TO 11 12 REITERATE PAST SPEAKERS, I THINK WE ARE MOVING ON TO 13 ADAPTATION AND MITIGATION, AND IT SEEMS TO BE THE 14 MESSAGE THAT WE PROMOTED, AND LET'S JUST BRING ALONG 15 RESEARCH AND MONITORING ALONG WITH THAT, AS WE ALL 16 KNOW THERE IS MUCH MORE OF THAT TO BE DONE. 17 DR. MILES: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE NOW HAVE 20 MINUTES FOR YOU THE AUDIENCE 18 19 TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS DISCUSSION. DON'T FEEL CALLED 20 UPON TO COMMENT ON ALL FOUR QUESTIONS, BUT LET'S TRY 21 TO GET AS MANY AS PEOPLE AS POSSIBLE. 22 DR. WILLIAMS: PETER WILLIAMS. I WORK FOR 23 IBM. 24 THINKING ABOUT THE HUMAN SOCIAL SYSTEM, IT 25 SEEMS TO ME THAT THE PROBLEM IS NOT NECESSARILY THE 0512 PHYSICAL SIDE PROBLEM; IT'S ACTUALLY A HUMAN 1

2 BEHAVIORAL PROBLEM AT EVER SO MANY LEVELS. HOW DO YOU STOP ME, AS A CONSUMER, I LIVE 3 IN CALIFORNIA, I GO AND BUY MY ORGANIC LUBBERS AND I 4 5 FEEL I BOUGHT ORGANIC ^ LUBBERS. I THEN OPEN THE PACKET AND THINGS HAVE BEEN AIR-FREIGHTED FROM CHILE. 6 7 HOW DO YOU STOP ME FROM BUYING A SPORTS CAR THAT ONLY GETS 20 MILES TO THE GALLON WHEN I SHOULD WE BUYING A 8 CAR THAT GETS 30 MILES TO THE GALLON. OR AS A 9 10 PROFESSIONAL, WORKING IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN, HOW DO YOU 11 MAKE ME OPTIMIZE MY SUPPLY CHAIN FOR CARBON 12 EMISSIONS? OR HOW DO YOU MAKE ME CHOOSE AN AIRLINE FOR MY BUSINESS TRAVEL THAT IS MORE MILEAGE EFFICIENT 13 THAN THE AIRLINE THAT I NORMALLY USE ON A DAY-TO-DAY 14 15 BASIS? 16 IT SEEMS TO ME THAT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT YOU NEED TO DO IF YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE AN 17 18 EFFECTIVE RESPONSE TO THIS IS TO STOP THINKING ABOUT 19 THIS AS JUST PHYSICAL SCIENCE. AT THE NEXT 20 CONFERENCE LIKE THIS THAT YOU HAVE, YOU WANT 21 BEHAVIORAL ECONOMICS REPRESENTED, YOU WANT PSYCHOLOGY 22 REPRESENTED, YOU WANT SOCIAL SCIENCE REPRESENTED. 23 THAT WAY WE'LL GET AN EFFECTIVE DIALOGUE ABOUT HOW TO CHANGE PEOPLE'S BEHAVIOR, WHICH IS THE ROOT CAUSE OF 24 ALL THIS STUFF THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. 25 0513 1 THANK YOU. 2 DR. SOCOLOW: ROB SOCOLOW, FROM PRINCETON. I MAY BE STRETCHING THE QUESTION, BUT I 3 HOPE NOT MUCH, TO SAY THAT I'M STILL NOT HEARING 4 PEOPLE ON THE PANEL POSITIONING, WORKING IN A FRAME 5 6 OF REFERENCE WHERE THE WORLD IS ATTACKING THE CARBON 7 PROBLEM AND, THEREFORE, IS RAISING QUESTIONS ABOUT WHETHER VARIOUS THINGS WORK. 8 IT'S GOING TO BE LIKE A MAGIC RIPPLE 9 10 EFFECT. WE DON'T HAVE THE ACTIONS UNDERWAY IN SOME 11 INSTANCES THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO BE DOING OR BE ASKING 12 WHETHER WE SHOULD BE. BUT WE WILL NEED THIS COMMUNITY WITH MEASUREMENT PROGRAMS ANTICIPATING WHAT 13 14 KIND OF QUESTIONS PEOPLE ARE GOING TO ASK THAT RELATE 15 TO THE PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL SYSTEM. IF WE HAVE 16 MAJOR AFFORESTATION, WHAT DOES THAT DO THAT WOULD CHANGE -- HOW WOULD YOU DECIDE HOW WELL IT'S WORKING? 17 18 IF WE HAVE A MAJOR WIND DEPLOYMENT, HOW WOULD YOU 19 DECIDE HOW WELL THAT IS WORKING? PROJECTION OF CO2 20 BELOW GROUND, WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO SEE LEAKS? 21 THERE IS A VERY LARGE AGENDA THAT IS GOING 22 TO BE GENERATED BY DEALING WITH THE PROBLEM. AND 23 YOU'RE STILL STANDING IN THE POSITION OF SAYING PROBABLY NOTHING IS GOING TO HAPPEN. WE'RE GOING TO 24 25 DOCUMENT HOW WE'RE GOING DOWN. 0514 1 (LAUGHTER) AND IT REALLY IS A MIND-SET THAT'S VERY 2 3 HARD TO GET PAST BECAUSE IT DIDN'T LOOK LIKE WE'RE

DOING ANYTHING BUT GO DOWN UNTIL VERY RECENTLY. 4 DR. TANS: I'M PIETER TANS FROM EARTH 5 SYSTEM RESEARCH LAB. 6 7 ACTUALLY, WE DO HAVE A PLAN FOR MEASUREMENTS TO HELP MITIGATION EFFORTS. WE'RE 8 9 TRYING TO DEVELOP OUR CARBON TRACKING SYSTEM SO THAT IT IS SUITABLE FOR MEASURING EMISSIONS, OUANTIFYING 10 EMISSIONS IN AN OBJECTIVE WAY, WHICH IS SOMETHING WE 11 12 WILL NEED ONCE THE WORLD SERIOUSLY STARTS TO TACKLE 13 THE PROBLEM OF EMISSIONS. WE NEED TO HAVE SOME 14 OBJECTIVE MEASURE TO SEE TO WHAT EXTENT ARE THESE POLICIES EFFECTIVE, WHAT IS WORKING, WHAT IS NOT. 15 NOW, ANOTHER THING, I ACTUALLY DISAGREE 16 17 WITH THAT FIRST QUESTION. IT TALKS ABOUT, I WILL READ THE LAST PART OF IT: "COMBINED WITH THE SLOWING 18 DOWN OF THE UPTAKE OF CO2 IN BOTH THE TERRESTRIAL AND 19 20 OCEAN SINKS." WHERE IS THE EVIDENCE FOR THIS? I DON'T 21 22 SURE, THE RATE OF INCREASE IN CO2 IN THE LAST BUY IT. 6 OR 7 YEARS HAS ACCELERATED SOMEWHAT, BUT LESS THAN 23 24 THE ACCELERATION OF THE EMISSIONS. IN OTHER WORDS, 25 THAT BALANCE WOULD SEEM TO IMPLY THAT TOTAL SINKS 0515 HAVE ACTUALLY INCREASED IN THE LAST, SAY, 6 OR 7 1 YEARS. NOW, IF THE OCEANOGRAPHERS ARE SAYING THAT 2 3 THE OCEANS ARE BECOMING LESS EFFECTIVE IN TAKING UP CO2, THEY ARE IMPLYING THAT THE UPTAKE BY THE 4 5 TERRESTRIAL ECOSYSTEM HAS GREATLY ACCELERATED IN THE LAST 6 OR 7 YEARS. I SEE NO EVIDENCE OF THAT. SO I 6 7 THINK, ACTUALLY, THAT IS A WRONG PREMISE. AND I 8 DON'T KNOW WHY EVERYBODY SEEMS TO BE BELIEVING THAT. 9 I THINK WE SHOULD LEAVE IT OFF THE QUESTION. 10 DR. FIELD: CAN I SPEAK ON THIS? IT DOESN'T SAY THAT LAND SINKS ARE 11 12 DECREASING; IT SAYS THAT THERE IS NO TREND IN LAND 13 SINKS. AND OF COURSE, THE DEFINITION OF A DECREASING 14 EFFICIENCY OF THE OCEAN SINK IS THAT THE OCEAN SINK IS, AS A FRACTION OF TOTAL EMISSIONS, GOING DOWN. 15 16 OCEAN SINKS ACTUALLY CONTINUE TO INCREASE THROUGH 17 TIME AND ARE CONTINUING TO DO THAT, AS FAR AS WE CAN 18 TELL. SO, CERTAINLY, THOSE STATEMENTS ARE NOT 19 SUPPORTED BY THE RECENT ANALYSIS. 20 DR. TANS: SO ARE YOU SAYING THE OCEAN 21 SINKS ARE INCREASING BUT NOT IN PROPORTION TO WHAT WE 22 HAD EXPECTED THAT THEY WOULD INCREASE? ARE YOU 23 SAYING THAT? DR. FIELD: ALL THAT PAPER SAYS IS THAT, AS 24 25 A FRACTION OF TOTAL EMISSIONS, OCEAN SINKS ARE GOING 0516 1 DOWN. 2 DR. TANS: YEAH. WELL, THAT'S MISLEADING. I MEAN, HERE IT IS FORMULATED AS TOTAL SINKS ARE 3 4 BASICALLY DIMINISHING. IT'S NOT THE CASE, PEOPLE. 5 SINKS HAVE BEEN INCREASING IN RECENT YEARS. I THINK

6 WE SEE THAT FROM SIMPLE MASS BALANCE, AND WE SHOULD NOT FORMULATE IT THIS WAY. THIS IS MISLEADING. 7 BUT AS FRACTIONS, MAYBE THEY HAVE DECREASED SOMEWHAT 8 9 BECAUSE EMISSIONS ARE REALLY GOING THROUGH THE ROOF AT THE MOMENT. THAT COULD BE TRUE, AS A FRACTION OF 10 11 EMISSIONS, THE SINKS HAVE DECREASED A LITTLE BIT 12 WHILE STILL GOING UP IN THE ACTUAL SENSE, THAT'S 13 POSSIBLE. 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: BUT NOT THAT THE 15 CONCENTRATIONS ARE GOING UP MORE SLOWLY THAN THE 16 EMISSIONS. 17 DR. TANS: I THINK, PERSONALLY, THE 18 FRACTIONS HAVE PROBABLY STAYED THE SAME. THAT'S WHAT 19 I THINK, ACTUALLY. 20 DR. FIELD: WELL, ALL THE ANALYSIS IS THAT THE FRACTION TAKEN UP BY THE OCEAN IS DECREASING, AND 21 22 THE FRACTION STAYING IN THE ATMOSPHERE IS INCREASING. 23 MR. MENDONCA: BERNARD MENDONCA, ESRL, 24 NOAA, BOULDER. 25 I'D LIKE TO GO BACK -- WELL, I WOULD LIKE 0517 TO START BY STATING THAT I HAVE BEEN OUT OF THE 1 2 SCIENCE FOR AWHILE, AND I'M HERE, AND I'M REALLY ENJOYING THIS CONFERENCE. I'M OLD SCHOOL, I GUESS. 3 4 BUT WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON TODAY 5 IS THAT EVERY WEEK I HEAR A DISCUSSION GROUP OF RETIRED PEOPLE IN BOULDER, COLORADO IN THE LIBRARY; 6 7 AND THESE ARE -- I CALL THEM HAS-BEENS-WHO-NEVER-8 -WERE --9 (LAUGHTER) 10 -- THEY'RE TEACHERS, RETIRED COLLEGE 11 PROFESSORS, ENGINEERS, AIRPLANE PILOTS, BUSINESSMEN, 12 LAWYERS. THERE'S ABOUT 35 OF US, MEN AND WOMEN WHO MEET EVERY YEAR. AND I'M THE CLIMATE GUY, AS YOU CAN 13 IMAGINE. AND I'VE BEEN TRYING TO GET THIS ACROSS TO 14 15 THEM FOR TWO YEARS NOW. THEY DON'T BELIEVE IT. AND 16 THESE ARE EDUCATED PEOPLE. AND IT'S A TOUGH, TOUGH 17 NUT. 18 SO WHAT I'D LIKE TO COMMENT ON TODAY TO YOU 19 PEOPLE NOW, WHO ARE CARRYING THE CAUSE, IS: IS IT 20 REASONABLE TO ASK OR TO MAKE THE PUBLIC REALIZE THAT 21 THE SCIENCE AND THE SCIENTISTS CAN BASICALLY DEFINE 22 THE PROBLEM BUT THEY CANNOT RESOLVE IT? 23 BECAUSE EVERY TIME I START TALKING ABOUT THE CLIMATE, THE REACTION I GET IS: THOSE 24 25 SCIENTISTS, THEY TALK IN THESE MYSTERIOUS TERMS, 0518 THEY'RE WAY OUT THERE, AND THEY REALLY CAN'T BE 1 TRUSTED. THIS IS THE FEEDBACK THAT'S JUST COMMON ALL 2 THE TIME. 3 4 AND I'VE THOUGHT ABOUT THIS A LOT. AND I'M ASKING: IS THIS COMING ABOUT BECAUSE THE SCIENTIFIC 5 6 COMMUNITY IS NOT DISCIPLINED ENOUGH TO BE ABSOLUTELY 7 SURE AND ROCK HARD WHAT THEY TELL THE PUBLIC IS TRUE

8 AND UNCONTESTED? THESE PEOPLE DON'T LIKE CONJECTURE; AND IF YOU HAVE THIS ON ONE HAND AND YOU HAVE THIS ON 9 THE OTHER, THAT TELLS THEM YOU GUYS CAN'T BE TRUSTED. 10 11 IT REALLY DOES. 12 AND THEN THE OTHER QUESTION I HAVE, THE 13 ONLY THING I SEE THAT'S REALLY CAUGHT ON IS THE AL GORE APPROACH; AND WHAT HE'S DONE IS HE'S MADE IT 14 15 VERY PERSONALLY RELEVANT. IT'S WHAT IS IT GOING TO DO TO ME PERSONALLY? HIGH GASOLINE PRICES, MY BEACH 16 17 HOME IS IN DANGER, THINGS LIKE THAT. 18 AND I'LL STOP HERE. BUT I'M INTERESTED TO 19 HEAR THE RESPONSE BECAUSE I'M GOING BACK NOW. I'M 20 ARMED AGAIN, AND I'M GOING TO TRY ONE MORE TIME TO 21 CONVINCE THESE GUYS. HELP ME OUT. 22 THANK YOU. 23 DR. FIELD: ONE BRIEF COMMENT: I WANT TO 24 REPEAT SOMETHING ALMOST VERBATIM THAT RICHARD 25 SOMERVILLE SAID YESTERDAY. YOU KNOW, IPCC IS A 0519 WONDERFUL PROCESS, AND I THINK THE REASON IT DESERVES 1 2 A NOBEL PRIZE IS LARGELY BASED ON THE PROCESS. AND ONE OF THE THINGS I THINK PEOPLE GENERALLY DON'T 3 UNDERSTAND IS THAT THAT SUMMARY FOR POLICY MAKERS FOR 4 EACH OF THE WORKING GROUPS IS APPROVED WORD-BY-WORD 5 BY REPRESENTATIVES OF EVERY COUNTRY IN THE WORLD, 6 7 INCLUDING THOSE THAT ARE THE MOST SKEPTICAL ABOUT CLIMATE SCIENCE. THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NOT A SINGLE 8 9 STATEMENT IN AN IPCC SUMMARY FOR POLICY MAKERS THAT ISN'T ACCEPTED WORD-BY-WORD BY THE MOST SKEPTICAL 10 COUNTRIES. I DON'T SEE HOW YOU CAN HAVE A STRONGER 11 12 STATEMENT THAN THAT. I THINK THAT SOMEHOW THAT 13 MESSAGE HASN'T COME OUT NEARLY AS STRONGLY AS IT 14 NEEDS TO. 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: IT HASN'T. ΤТ 16 REALLY HASN'T. 17 DR. FIELD: THAT'S TRUE. YOU KNOW, SUSAN 18 HAS SAT THROUGH COUNTLESS SESSIONS WITH PEOPLE 19 ARGUING OVER INDIVIDUAL WORDS. 20 DR. GAMMON: RICHARD GAMMON, UNIVERSITY OF 21 WASHINGTON. 22 I THINK THAT THAT IS EXACTLY RIGHT. PEOPLE 23 DON'T UNDERSTAND THE IPCC PROCESS OR WHAT THE SUMMARY 24 FOR THE POLICY MAKERS REALLY REPRESENTS. 25 THEY'LL SAY, WELL, THAT'S ON THE ONE HAND, 0520 1 AND I READ AN EDITORIAL IN "THE WALL STREET JOURNAL" THAT SAID THIS. AND THERE YOU GO. 2 3 I JUST WANT TO MAKE A FEW POINTS FOLLOWING 4 ON WHAT BERNARD SAID. 5 I THINK THAT AS SCIENTISTS WE'RE MOVED BY 6 GRAPHS, WE'RE MOVED BY DATA. BUT THE PUBLIC IS MOVED 7 BY STORIES. YOU HAVE TO TELL A STORY. YOU HAVE TO TELL A STORY THAT PEOPLE CAN RELATE TO. AND SO THE 8 9 STORY CAN BE SCARY IF IT MOVES PEOPLE. AND I THINK I

WANT TO SORT OF SAY THAT I'M ALL WITH JIM HANSEN ON 10 THE RECENT ARTICLE HE WROTE CALLED "THE RETICENCE OF 11 SCIENTISTS." WE HAVE TO BE WILLING TO BE ADVOCATES. 12 13 YOU DON'T HAVE TO BE AN ADVOCATE FOR THE REPUBLICANS OR THE DEMOCRATS, BUT YOU HAVE TO BE AN ADVOCATE FOR 14 15 THE PLANET. WE HAVE TO REALLY TALK HONESTLY IN A LANGUAGE THEY UNDERSTAND ABOUT THE NATURE OF THE 16 17 PROBLEM. AND SO MAYBE YOUR ANALOGY IS YOU'RE DRIVING 18 ALONG A LONELY ROAD, IT IS GETTING DARK, AND THE 19 "CHECK ENGINE" LIGHT JUST CAME ON. SO SOMETHING 20 PEOPLE CAN RELATE TO ABOUT THE NATURE OF THE CRISIS WE FACE. AS BILL MCKIBBON SAID, WE'RE NOW IN THE 21 "OH, SHIT" PHASE OF CLIMATE CHANGE. 2.2 23 (LAUGHTER) 24 DR. COHEN: I'M ANNA UNRUH COHEN, AND I'M WITH THE HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND GLOBAL 25 0521 1 WARMING, AND I'M THE CLIMATE SCIENTIST ON STAFF. SO I SPEND A LOT OF MY DAYS TRYING TO BE THE BRIDGE 2 3 BETWEEN THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY AND THE POLICY 4 MAKERS, AND IT REALLY IS TOUGH, EVEN WORKING FOR MEMBERS WHO ARE CONCERNED ABOUT THE PROBLEM AND WANT 5 TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE. THEY DON'T UNDERSTAND SCIENCE 6 AS WELL AS MAYBE WE'D LIKE. 7 8 BUT ONE OF MY QUESTIONS HERE IS WE'VE TALKED A LOT ABOUT MITIGATION ISSUES OVER THE YEARS, 9 AND SO THERE IS RELATIVELY GOOD UNDERSTANDING ON 10 11 CAPITOL HILL ON MITIGATION EFFORTS. WE HAVE THE 12 SOCOLOW MITIGATION WEDGES SO THEY CAN THINK IN THEIR 13 MIND, OKAY, WHAT DO I DO TO BUILD MORE WIND FARMS? 14 WHAT DO I DO TO INCREASE FUEL ECONOMY? BUT WE DON'T 15 HAVE THE SAME TYPE OF INFORMATION FOR THEM ON THE 16 ADAPTATION SIDE. 17 AND SO I JUST WONDERED IF YOU, AS A PANEL 18 FOR THE GREATER COMMUNITY, HAS STARTED TO THINK ABOUT 19 HOW WE CAN PUT TOGETHER IN A WAY THAT POLICY MAKERS 20 CAN UNDERSTAND SOME OF THOSE ADAPTATION NEEDS AND HOW THAT CAN INFORM POLICY MAKING, BECAUSE IT IS BEHIND 21 22 OUR THINKING ON MITIGATION, AND IT NEEDS TO CATCH UP 23 OUICKLY BECAUSE CONGRESS IS GOING TO START WORKING ON 24 A CLIMATE BILL. TO MAKE IT AS COMPREHENSIVE AS 25 POSSIBLE, WE NEED THOSE TYPE OF THINGS TO INFORM 0522 1 THAT PROCESS. 2 I GUESS JUST A SECOND PART OF THE 3 QUESTION WOULD BE: WHAT DO YOU SEE THE ROLE OF SCIENCE PLAYING WITH POLICY MAKERS IN DEALING WITH 4 5 THE ADAPTATION ISSUES AS WE GO FORWARD CRAFTING A LEGISLATIVE POLICY TO DEAL WITH THIS CLIMATE CHANGE 6 7 ISSUE COMPREHENSIVELY? 8 DR. MILES: THANK YOU. ANY TAKERS? 9 10 DR. LOBELL: I THINK THAT'S AN EXCELLENT 11 QUESTION, AND I AGREE TOTALLY THAT ADAPTATION HASN'T

12 BEEN THOUGHT ABOUT HARD ENOUGH OR AS HARD, CERTAINLY, 13 AS THE MITIGATION ISSUES. I MEAN, I WOULD SAY THE MITIGATION WEDGES 14 HAVE REALLY GOTTEN TRACTION ON CAPITOL HILL. WE 15 MIGHT AS WELL TRY THE ADAPTATION WEDGES TO GO ALONG 16 17 WITH THAT. AND IT WOULD BE INTERESTING TO THINK ABOUT TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THAT MEANS IN TERMS 18 19 OF DIFFERENT OPTIONS FOR ADAPTATION. 20 DR. MILES: A COUPLE OF POINTS: I REALLY 21 THINK THAT THE ADAPTATION ISSUE YOU RAISE IS AN 22 EXTREMELY IMPORTANT ONE, AND THE ADAPTATION TO BOTH CLIMATE VARIABILITY AND CLIMATE CHANGE IN A WIDE 23 VARIETY OF SECTORS IS PART OF THE BREAD-AND-BUTTER OF 2.4 25 A PROGRAM WITHIN NOAA, THE RISA PROGRAM, REGIONAL 0523 INTEGRATED SCIENCES ASSESSMENT, OF WHICH MY TEAM IS 1 ONE; AND WE WORK VERY CLOSELY WITH A WIDE VARIETY OF 2 3 STAKEHOLDERS IN OUR REGION, THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST. BUT THERE ARE NOW 9 TEAMS IN THE NOAA 4 5 PROGRAM, AND EVERYBODY WORKS VERY CLOSELY WITH THEIR 6 OWN STAKEHOLDERS. 7 CALIFORNIA, OF COURSE, LED THE WAY IN DOING A COMPREHENSIVE CLIMATE CHANGE ASSESSMENT FOR THE 8 STATE, AND THIS IS ONLY THE FIRST IN AN AUTHORITATIVE 9 10 SERIES, AND WE USED THAT AS LEVERAGE FOR CONVINCING 11 THE STATE OF WASHINGTON TO DO THE SAME. NOW WE 12 ARE IN AN EXTENDED CONVERSATION WITH THE STATE, VERY 13 CLOSELY, I MIGHT SAY, WORKING WITH POLICY MAKERS AND OPERATIONAL MANAGERS .-THE POLICY WE HAVE ADOPTED INTERNALLY IS THAT WE WILL DO THE WHOLE 14 15 CHAIN OF ANALYSIS UP TO THE POINT OF IDENTIFYING AND 16 EVALUATING OPTIONS. BEYOND THAT, WE WILL NOT GO. 17 AND WE WILL WORK WITH THEM UNTIL THAT POINT. THEN WE WILL STEP BACK. 18 19 DR. KIRSHEN: COULD I MAKE A COMMENT? 20 DR. MILES: YES. 21 DR. KIRSHEN: I HAVEN'T THOUGHT THIS 22 THROUGH TOTALLY, OKAY, YOU KNOW, BUT ADAPTATION TO 23 INFRASTRUCTURE TENDS TO BE DONE LOCALLY, ALMOST 24 PROJECT BY PROJECT. AND SO I WOULD LIKE TO SEE 25 SOMETHING LIKE ADAPTATION ADDED INTO THE 0524 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT PROCESS. BECAUSE THAT 1 WOULD REALLY FORCE PROJECT PROPONENTS TO THINK ABOUT 2 HOW THIS IS GOING TO FUNCTION IN THE FUTURE. AND I 3 HAVE ALREADY SEEN IT DONE. FOR EXAMPLE, I HAVE SEEN 4 5 THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXAMINE FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, AND SAY SEA LEVEL WAS 2 FEET HIGHER, HOW 6 WOULD THIS FUNCTION, AND FORCE THEM TO SORT OF START 7 LOOKING AT THESE TRADEOFFS. OKAY, MAYBE THEY WON'T 8 9 DESIGN TO 2 FEET NOW, BUT WILL CERTAINLY LEAVE THE OPTION THERE, SO WHEN THEY DO HAVE 2 FEET, I CAN 10 11 QUICKLY ADJUST AND GO FORWARD. 12 AND I THINK, AGAIN, LIKE I SAID, JUST SORT

OF BUILDING MENTALITY, BUT I THINK THE WAY TO SORT OF 13 14 FORCE ADAPTATION THINKING IS TO INSTITUTIONALIZE IT. 15 THE EIS PROCESS IS A WAY IT CAN BE DONE. AND, ALSO, 16 THE FEDERAL GUIDELINES FOR MANAGING WATER RESOURCES ARE CALLED THE PRINCIPLES AND GUIDELINES, AND THERE'S 17 ACTUALLY RECENT LEGISLATION THAT WAS PASSED THAT THEY 18 19 HAVE TO BE UPDATED. CLIMATE CHANGE, IT'S IN THE ORDER OF 2007, CLIMATE CHANGE SHOULD BE IN THAT. 20 START INSTITUTIONALIZING THIS, AND THEN, I THINK, 21 22 WE'LL START SEEING SOME ADAPTATION. ONCE YOU OPEN 23 THE FLOOD GATES, MORE AND MORE WILL HAPPEN. 24 DR. MILES: WE ARE JUST ABOUT AT THE END, I THINK. 25

- 0525
- 1