DR. MILES: MY NAME IS ED MILES. I RUN THE CLIMATE IMPACTS GROUP AT THE UNIVERSITY OF 17 18 WASHINGTON. 19 VERY TROUBLING THOUGHTS BETWEEN SEVERAL 20 PRESENTATIONS TODAY AND THE NEGOTIATIONS TO COME IN 21 BALI. AND ROB BROUGHT THIS TO A CLOSURE IN MY MIND 2.2 BY HIS REFERENCES TO WHEN THE WARNING LIGHTS GO ON. 50 YEARS TO MAKE CHANGES. 2.3 2.4 MR. PALMER'S SUGGESTION IS THAT WE NEED 25 GRADUAL CHANGES FOR THE INDUSTRY TO ACCEPT THIS AND 0303 1 TO BE SUPPORTIVE. 2 AND GO BACK TO RICHARD'S PRESENTATION THIS 3 MORNING AND THAT ONE SLIDE WHERE HE LISTS 4 ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES ATTRIBUTABLE TO CLIMATE CHANGE 5 THAT ARE COMING FASTER AND MORE POWERFULLY THAN WE 6 HAD ANTICIPATED. 7 AND THEN ADMIRAL GAFFNEY'S PRESENTATION OF 8 A DOCUMENT I THINK IS EXTRAORDINARILY WELL DONE, 9 COMBINING BOTH EXPERIENCE AND DEEP WISDOM. 10 AND I'M VERY TROUBLED BECAUSE THERE'S A 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

CONFLICT HERE BETWEEN THE TIME HORIZON WITHIN WHICH POLICY AGREEMENTS MIGHT BE POSSIBLE AND THE RATE AT WHICH NATURE IS CHANGING. AND IF YOU GOOGLE THE FOREIGN POLICY MAGAZINES FAILED STATE INDEX, YOU GET A MAP, AND YOU OVERLAY ON THAT MENTALLY THE RESULTS OF THE THIRD IPCC ASSESSMENT IN WORKING GROUP II AND THE FOURTH ONE. YOU FIND THAT THE DOWN SIDE OF THESE CHANGES FALL VERY HEAVILY ON THOSE LEAST ABLE TO BEAR THEM, TO COPE WITH THEM, TO MANAGE THEM. AND THEN YOU THINK ABOUT WHAT THE CONSEQUENCES OF THAT WORLD WILL BE.

AND ARE WE TALKING HERE ABOUT THE NEXT 2.2 23 50 YEARS? ABOUT THE NEXT 10 TO 15 TO 20, AT MOST? 24 THINK THAT BEARS A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF THINKING, AND IT MAKES 2 DEGREES C NOT SO ATTRACTIVE, IT MAKES 2.5 0304

1 3 DEGREES C CATASTROPHIC. AND EVEN FOR THE CHINESE, 2 YOU KNOW, I'VE LOOKED IN SOME DETAIL AT THE 3 VULNERABILITIES OF CHINESE AGRICULTURE AND WATER TO CHANGES IN CLIMATE, THEY'RE VERY SEVERE. AND IS THE 5 CHINA OF TODAY AND ITS HEROIC PLANS ACTUALLY POSSIBLE 6 IN THE NEXT FIVE DECADES? THAT'S A QUESTION TO 7 PONDER.

AND I HAVEN'T SAID ANYTHING HERE ABOUT THE PUBLIC HEALTH PROBLEM FOR CHINA OF ITS OWN PATTERN OF DEVELOPMENT. THERE'S A LOT MORE IN HERE THAN JUST SOLVING THE ENERGY PROBLEM.

11 12 DR. SOCOLOW: I AGREE WITH MOST OF WHAT 13 YOU'VE SAID, AND IT'S EXTREMELY ELOQUENTLY SAID. 14 MAYBE WE SHOULD JUST LEAVE IT AT THAT. I MEAN, I 15 DIDN'T HEAR A PLACE WHERE YOU WOULD WANT A COMMENT. 16 WE ARE LEARNING ABOUT THE HUMAN CONDITION,

17 AND WE ARE LEARNING ABOUT IT VERY FAST. I MEAN 18 50 YEARS AGO WE DID NOT THINK WE HAD A PROBLEM LIVING

19 ON A FINITE EARTH. WE NOW UNDERSTAND THAT THAT IS

20 THE UNDERLYING PROBLEM.

19

20 21

8

9

10

```
21
                A LOT OF US ARE VERY EXUBERANT. WE WANT A
22
    LOT FROM LIFE. AND I DON'T THINK IT'S JUST POLICY; I
23
     THINK IT'S POLICY, IT'S BEHAVIOR, IT'S THE RATE AT
    WHICH YOU CAN INTRODUCE NEW TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS.
     THEY ARE ALL GOVERNING THE TIME DIMENSIONS. AND I
25
0305
 1
    DIDN'T TALK AT ALL -- THERE'S SOME WONDERFUL TALK
 2.
     THAT YOU COULD HAVE HAD ON THIS PROGRAM -- ABOUT HOW
 3
     TO THINK ABOUT ADAPTATIONS SYSTEMATICALLY. I GUESS
 4
    THERE WILL BE CONSIDERABLE DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT
 5
    TOMORROW.
 6
                ROSINA BIERBAUM GIVES A WONDERFUL TALK, AND
 7
     SHE TALKED ABOUT THIS VERY SERIOUSLY, THE DEAN AT THE
 8
    MICHIGAN SCHOOL OF NATURAL RESOURCES.
 9
                ADAPTATION IS OBVIOUSLY ANOTHER PLACE YOU
10
     HAVE TO PUT INVESTMENTS, ESPECIALLY THE DEVELOPING
11
     WORLD. WHEN I TALKED TO A WORLD BANK GROUP, THAT'S
12
    WHAT THEY WANTED TO TALK ABOUT. THEY UNDERSTAND
13
    MONEY HAS TO FLOW TO THE DEVELOPING WORLD, TO THE
14
     POOREST PART OF THE DEVELOPING WORLD, WHICH ARE NOT
15
    GENERATING CARBON EMISSIONS AND ARE GOING TO BE
16
    DEALING WITH INADEQUATE RESOURCES WITH THE
     CONSEQUENCES. THAT IS A COMPLETELY ADDITIONAL AGENDA
17
    THAT HAS TO TAKE RESOURCES, CLEARLY. AND IT TAKES
18
19
    THE FORM OF PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEMS, INFORMATION
2.0
     SYSTEMS, INSURANCE SYSTEMS, AND SO THAT HAS TO GO ON,
21
    AS WELL, CLEARLY. TO FIND THIS OPTIMUM IN THAT
     SPACE, THAT IS CERTAINLY PART OF THE ACTIVITY.
22
23
                AND THEN WE HAVE THE DILEMMA OF
24
     GEOENGINEERING, WHICH IS ALSO GOING TO BE DISCUSSED
25
     ON FRIDAY MORNING. HOW MUCH OF THAT DO WE WANT TO
0306
     ADMIT INTO THE OPTIMIZATION?
1
 2
                THE MORE SEVERE AND URGENT THE PROBLEM, THE
     MORE YOU'VE GOT TO REDUCE YOUR SQUEAMISHNESS ABOUT
 3
 4
     SOLUTIONS.
                DR. SPINRAD: FINAL QUESTION.
 5
 6
                DR. WEISS: I AM RAY WEISS, FROM SCRIPPS
 7
     OCEANOGRAPHY.
 8
                I WOULD LIKE TO ASK, ROB, WHETHER YOU HAVE
 9
     ANYTHING TO SAY ABOUT THE DEVELOPED WORLD EXPORTING
10
    ITS EMISSIONS TO THE DEVELOPING WORLD AND NOT HAVING
    AN ACCOUNTING UNDER PRESENT STRUCTURES BASED ON KYOTO
11
12
     FOR FOLLOWING THE CARBON WITH THE PRODUCTS AND THE
13
     EMISSIONS THAT ARE SO EXPORTED.
                DR. SOCOLOW: THAT'S A SOFTBALL QUESTION.
14
15
                DR. WEISS: I KNOW IT IS.
16
                DR. SOCOLOW: THERE ARE A NUMBER OF
17
     INADEQUACIES OF THE CURRENT CARBON COUNTING, WHICH
     THAT IS CLEARLY ONE. WHEN WE IMPORT ALL THE -- WITH
18
     OUR BALANCE OF TRADE, THE PROBLEM IS BASED ON
19
     IMPORTING GOODS FROM CHINA THAT HAVE BEEN MADE WITH
20
    THE COAL THAT THE CHINESE ARE BUILDING THE COAL
21
22
    PLANTS TO BURN, AND WE AREN'T ACCOUNTING FOR THAT IN
23
    ANY SENSIBLE FASHION AT THE PRESENT TIME, AND IT
24
     CLEARLY HAS TO BE PART OF THE PICTURE.
25
                THIS WHOLE SO-CALLED LEAKAGE QUESTION, NEW
```

0307

- 1 JERSEY ACCOUNTING, AND THEY'RE HAVING TROUBLE
- 2 FIGURING OUT WHETHER THEY'RE NOT NOW IN THEIR COAL,
- 3 INCLUDING THE CARBON EMISSIONS FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA
- 4 COAL THAT IS COMING OVER THE BORDER AS ELECTRICITY,
- 5 AND ONE ACCOUNTING ISSUE OF THAT KIND AFTER ANOTHER.
- 6 THE ONE THAT I WOULD LIKE TO ISOLATE MORE
- 7 THAN ANY OTHER IS THE MORE RADICAL DEPARTURE IN THE
- 8 CARBON ACCOUNTING; AND THAT IS WE SHOULD BE DOING TWO
- 9 COLUMNS FOR EACH COUNTRY FOR EACH YEAR OR FOR EACH
- 10 STATE FOR EACH YEAR: ONE IS THE CARBON WE ACTUALLY
- 11 CONSUMED, AND THE OTHER IS THE CARBON IMPLICIT IN THE
- 12 INVESTMENTS IN CAPITAL STOCK. IF A POWER PLANT IS
- 13 BUILT, COAL POWER PLANT IS BUILT, IT WILL EMIT,
- 14 LET'S SAY, 6 MILLION TONS OF CO2 A YEAR FOR, LET'S
- 15 SAY, 60 YEARS, IN WHICH CASE THIS IS A
- 16 360-MILLION-TON-OF-CO2 COMMITMENT THAT IS IMPLICIT IN
- 17 THIS YEAR'S BEHAVIOR. WE SHOULD BE DOING THAT KIND
- 18 OF DOUBLE-COLUMN BOOKKEEPING, MUCH AS CAPITAL
- 19 INVESTMENTS KEPT TRACK OF THEM IN THE LARGE CORPORATE
- 20 WORLD. SO COMMITMENT ACCOUNTING SHOULD BE GOING
- 21 SIDE-BY-SIDE WITH FLOW ACCOUNTING, STOCK, IF YOU
- 22 LIKE, STOCK ACCOUNTING AND FLOW ACCOUNTING SHOULD BE
- 23 GOING SIDE-BY-SIDE AS WELL AS FIXING ALL THESE FLOW
- 24 ACCOUNT ISSUES.

5

- 25 ONE LAST THING: MELINDA IS WAVING THESE 0308
- 1 REPRINTS. DO IT ONE MORE TIME.
- 2 I HAVE A PILE OF TWO REPRINTS, ONE ON
- 3 CARBON CAPTURE STORAGE, ONE ON THE WEDGES, THAT ARE
- 4 OUTSIDE AT THE REGISTRATION DESK. I WOULD LIKE TO
 - SEE THEM ALL DISAPPEAR.