MR. PALMER: THANK YOU, BOTH. MOST 16 ILLUMINATING. 17 WE WILL OPEN IT UP TO QUESTIONS. IF YOU 18 HAVE A DIRECTED QUESTION TO ANY OF THE INDIVIDUALS UP HERE, DIRECT IT, AND I WON'T INTERVENE; AND IF YOU'VE 19 20 GOT AN OPEN QUESTION, THEN I WILL CALL BALLS AND STRIKES ON IT, AND MAYBE PITCH IN MYSELF. 21 2.2 DR. FUNG: INEZ FUNG FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF 23 CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY. 24 I'M REALLY PLEASED TO HEAR THE PRIVATE 25 INDUSTRY TAKING CLIMATE CHANGE SERIOUSLY. I WOULD 0252 1 LIKE TO KNOW YOUR OPINIONS ABOUT OUR GOVERNMENT'S 2 POSITION IN THE UPCOMING BALI CONFERENCE. 3 MS. HOWES: THAT WAS POLITICALLY LOADED. 4 (LAUGHTER) 5 MR. PALMER: DEFINITELY. 6 DR. FUNG: PERHAPS IN A DIFFERENT WAY, HOW 7 CAN WE PERSUADE OUR GOVERNMENT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE 8 BALI DISCUSSIONS? 9 MR. PALMER: WHY DON'T WE JUST WORK DOWN 10 THE TABLE HERE. 11 MS. HOWES: THAT'S A GOOD IDEA. I WILL 12 START. 13 I BELIEVE THAT THE U.S. HAS TO SHOW 14 LEADERSHIP, AND IT HAS TO MAKE A COMMITMENT TO REDUCE 15 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. I THINK THAT THOSE THAT 16 ARE HEADING TO BALI ALREADY HAVE THEIR MARCHING 17 ORDERS. I AM AN OPTIMIST. I HOPE THAT WE SEE SOME 18 PROGRESS AT BALI. IF YOU LOOK AT THE NUMBER OF 19 PIECES OF LEGISLATION THAT HAVE BEEN INTRODUCED SINCE 20 NOVEMBER OF LAST YEAR, I THINK IT IS ROUGHLY 150 DIFFERENT BILLS THAT HAVE SOME CLIMATE FOCUS, 21 LARGELY IN THE SENATE, BUT WE'RE SEEING A FEW BILLS 2.2 IN THE HOUSE. I THINK THE MOMENTUM IS THERE. IT 23 WOULD BE A TERRIFIC SWAN SONG FOR THE PRESIDENT TO 2.4 MAKE A COMMITMENT TO REDUCE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. 25 0253 1 BUT HE HASN'T PHONED TO ASK. 2 (LAUGHTER) 3 MR. BRAINE: AND I DON'T HAVE THAT MUCH TO ADD TO THAT. I GUESS I WOULD SAY THAT, YOU KNOW, ONE 4 OF THE THINGS THAT IS CLEARLY HAPPENING IN THE UNITED 5 6 STATES IS YOU'RE GOING TO SEE -- I MEAN, WE'VE ALWAYS SAID IT'S A MATTER OF WHEN THERE WILL BE LEGISLATION, 7 8 NOT IF. IT'S COMING. IT WILL PROBABLY NOT HAPPEN 9 BEFORE THE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION JUST BECAUSE OF THE 10 WAY POLITICS WORKS IN THE UNITED STATES. AND NO ONE MOVES IN CONGRESS ON ANYTHING IN AN ELECTION YEAR. 11 12 BUT AFTER THE ELECTIONS, IF YOU LOOK AT THE 13 CANDIDATES WHO ARE OUT THERE, MOST OF THOSE 14 CANDIDATES WILL PROBABLY SUPPORT A CLIMATE BILL, AND 15 I THINK THAT WILL MAKE A DIFFERENCE AS FAR AS 16 SOMETHING GETTING PASSED RELATIVELY QUICKLY AFTER 17 THAT POINT. 18 MR. PALMER: FROM MY STANDPOINT, I DON'T 19 THINK YOU'LL SEE MUCH CHANGE IN THE ADMINISTRATION'S

20 POSTURE IN BALI. I THINK, YOU KNOW, TO THE CREDIT OF THE ADMINISTRATION, THE ADMINISTRATION, PRESIDENT 21 22 BUSH DID CONCEDE ON FUTUREGEN, AND FUTUREGEN IS 23 PROGRESSING, AND THAT'S A BILLION-DOLLAR COMMITMENT 24 ON THE PART OF THE UNITED STATES, WHICH IS REAL MONEY 25 AND WAY BEYOND WHAT THE EUROPEAN UNION IS DOING, FOR 0254 1 EXAMPLE, WHICH TALKS ABOUT HARD CAPS BUT DOESN'T MEET 2 THEM AND IS NOT INVESTING MONEY. 3 I ALSO THINK THAT COMING OUT OF BALI THAT 4 WHOEVER IS ELECTED PRESIDENT NEXT YEAR, I THINK YOU 5 WILL SEE AT THE END OF THE DAY, I DO THINK THERE WILL 6 BE CARBON MANAGEMENT LEGISLATION, BUT I DO NOT THINK 7 IT WILL BE LEGISLATION WITH HARD CAPS WITHOUT 8 TECHNOLOGY PASSED SO THAT ELECTRIC RELIABILITY AND 9 REASONABLY PRICED ELECTRIC POWER IS MADE AVAILABLE TO 10 THE AMERICAN PEOPLE. AND I THINK AT THE END OF THE 11 DAY, WHERE CONGRESS COMES OUT ON THIS AFTER A YEAR OR 12 TWO OF TUGGING AND PUSHING AND PULLING WILL BE VERY 13 SIMILAR TO WHAT WE HAVE TODAY IN TERMS OF POLICY; AND 14 THAT IS, A GRADUAL MOVEMENT TO A LOW-CARBON ECONOMY, 15 INCLUDING CARBON CAPTURE AND SEQUESTRATION. BUT THAT 16 PIECE OF IT, WHICH ALL THREE OF US TALKED ABOUT, IS 17 SOMETHING THAT IS GOING TO COST MONEY, AND THE GOVERNMENT HAS TO BE INVOLVED IN IT AND IS GOING TO 18 19 HAVE TO PUT IN PLACE A LEGAL FRAMEWORK TO DO IT AND 20 INVEST MONEY AND INCENTIVIZE PEOPLE TO DO IT. AND 21 COMING IN WITH HARD CAPS, THAT JUST AIN'T GOING TO DO IT. IT DOESN'T MEAN IT WILL HAPPEN. I THINK IN THAT 22 23 KIND OF SCENARIO THE MOST LIKELY OUTCOME IS PEOPLE 24 JUST TURN OFF MACHINES, AND WE HAVE EXCEEDINGLY HIGH 25 ELECTRICITY PRICES AND RELIABILITY PROBLEMS. 0255 1 SO FOR THOSE REASONS I THINK YOU WILL SEE 2 MORE OF THE SAME, WITH A LOT OF RHETORIC, BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY, AN OVERALL FEDERAL POLICY THAT PUTS 3 4 US ON A GRADUAL DECARBONATION PATH OVER TIME. 5 DR. FIELD: MY NAME IS CHRIS FIELD. I'M FROM THE CARNEGIE INSTITUTION. 6 7 I WANT TO ASK ALL THREE OF YOU A 8 HYPOTHETICAL THAT BASICALLY TAKES UP ON THE POINT YOU 9 LEFT, AND IT'S ONE I'M SURE YOU'VE THOUGHT ABOUT. IF 10 THE POLITICAL LANDSCAPE SHIFTS AND IF IN TWO TO FOUR 11 YEARS WE'RE LOOKING AT MANDATED REDUCTION RATES THAT 12 ARE, SAY, TWICE AS FAST AS WE EXPECT OR OF ANY OF THE 13 SCENARIOS THAT WE'VE TALKED ABOUT SO FAR, WHAT IS THE 14 INDUSTRY RESPONSE GOING TO LOOK LIKE? 15 MR. PALMER: FROM MY STANDPOINT, IT DEPENDS 16 ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE BILL. IF IT'S IN AN 17 ENVIRONMENT WHERE YOU JUST COME IN AND SAY, YOU CAN'T 18 DO THIS ANYMORE, YOU FIGURE OUT HOW YOU'RE GOING TO 19 DO IT, WITHOUT GOVERNMENT INCENTIVES FOR CARBON 20 CAPTURE AND SEQUESTRATION, I THINK PEOPLE WOULD WALK 21 AWAY FROM INVESTING AND MAINTAINING THE EXISTING COAL 2.2 FLEET; AND I THINK THE OUTCOME WOULD NOT BE A GOOD 23 ONE FOR THE COUNTRY. 24 ON THE OTHER HAND, IF YOU COME IN WITH A

25 CALIFORNIA APPROACH AND SAY, FOR EXAMPLE, THE 0256 1 EMISSIONS RATE HAS TO LOOK LIKE NATURAL GAS, WHICH IS 2 WHAT THEY HAVE SAID THERE ON ELECTRICITY GENERATION, 3 AND YOU PROVIDE INCENTIVES FOR CARBON CAPTURE AND 4 SEQUESTRATION, I THINK YOU WILL SEE THE CREATION VERY 5 OUICKLY OF A CCS INDUSTRY. 6 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I HAD IN MY SLIDE, 7 AND I DIDN'T HAVE A LOT OF TIME TO TALK ABOUT IT, BUT 8 IT IS SUBSTITUTE NATURAL GAS WITH CARBON CAPTURE AND 9 SEQUESTRATION. THE NUMBERS WE HAVE SEEN ARE NOT 10 \$40 A TON FOR SEQUESTRATION. THE NUMBERS WE HAVE 11 SEEN GIVES YOU A 99 PERCENT CARBON CAPTURE, PRODUCING 12 PIPELINE QUALITY NATURAL GAS, IDENTICAL TO NATURAL 13 GAS, IT HAS CARBON IN IT, BUT IDENTICAL TO NATURAL GAS, AT A PRICE UNDER CURRENT HENRY HUB CASH COSTS, 14 15 AND THERE ARE 200,000 MEGAWATTS OF LOW, OF 16 UNDERUTILIZED NATURAL GAS COMBINED CYCLING THAT'S 17 SITTING ON THE GROUND TODAY IN THE CONTINENTAL UNITED 18 STATES, AND I THINK YOU WOULD GET A LOT OF SNG 19 ACTIVITY RIGHT AWAY IN THAT SPACE, AND I THINK THAT 20 KIND OF A PATH IS WHAT CONGRESS WILL PROVIDE 21 ULTIMATELY. MR. BRAINE: I THINK THAT AS FAR AS THE 2.2 23 RESPONSE, IF LET'S SAY CONGRESS IN TWO TO FOUR YEARS 2.4 MAINTAINED A BILL WHERE THERE WAS PRETTY RAPID 25 REDUCTIONS IN EMISSIONS OVER THE -- PARTICULARLY OVER 0257 LIKE THE NEXT DECADE OR SO, YOU KNOW, THE BIGGEST 1 2 LIKELY RESPONSE THAT YOU WOULD SEE -- AND I KNOW YOU 3 WOULD SEE IT AT AEP -- IS THAT WE WOULD CHANGE OUR 4 DISPATCH, AND WE WOULD START RUNNING OUR GAS UNITS 5 VERY, VERY HARD AND OUR COAL UNITS MUCH LESS. AND 6 THE PROBLEM WITH THAT, OF COURSE, IS THAT IT'S A VERY 7 EXPENSIVE DISPATCH, AND YOU END UP WITH VERY HIGH GAS PRICES AND A LOT OF OTHER NOT-SUCH-GOOD CONSEQUENCES. 8 9 SO THAT'S WHY WE'VE ALWAYS SAID THAT YOU 10 NEED SORT OF A REDUCTION WITH TARGETS AND TIMETABLES 11 THAT IS CONSISTENT WITH BEING ABLE TO BRING IN CARBON 12 CAPTURE TECHNOLOGY, AND THAT IS ABSOLUTELY CRITICAL 13 FOR US IN BEING ABLE TO RESPOND SO THAT WE DON'T HAVE 50-TO-100-PERCENT RATE IMPACTS OR THINGS LIKE THAT 14 15 HAPPENING, WHICH POLITICALLY WILL LEAD TO ITS OWN 16 BACKLASH; AND THAT, I THINK, IS VERY IMPORTANT THAT GOING TOO FAST MAY END UP ULTIMATELY RESULTING IN US 17 NOT GOING ANYWHERE AT ALL AS FAR AS REDUCING CO2 18 19 EMISSIONS IN THE LONG RUN. 20 MS. HOWES: THIS IS GOING TO BE STRANGE COMING FROM A COMPANY THAT HAS A LOT OF NUCLEAR 21 22 GENERATION: UNLESS WE INVEST HEAVILY IN CARBON 23 CAPTURE AND SEQUESTRATION TECHNOLOGY, WE WOULDN'T GET 24 THAT RAPID DECLINE THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT. IF YOU 25 REMEMBER ONE OF BRUCE'S SLIDES THAT SHOWED AEP IS 0258 1 THE -- I'M SORRY -- EPRI'S RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, 2 A GOOD DEAL OF THAT IS TECHNOLOGY THAT IS NOT 3 AVAILABLE NOW. WE NEED TO GET THERE, WHICH MEANS

HEAVY INVESTMENT IN R AND D. BUT I THINK WHAT YOU'LL 4 5 SEE IN THAT INTERIM PERIOD IS A GREATER FOCUS ON 6 ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND A WHOLE LOT MORE USE OF 7 RENEWABLES, AND I AGREE WITH BRUCE, A WHOLE LOT MORE 8 USE OF GAS. 9 MR. PALMER: A COUPLE OF POINTS JUST ON 10 THAT. THE EPRI SLIDE WHICH HAD 64 GIGAWATTS OF 11 NUCLEAR BY 2030, HELEN HAD US WITH ONE PLANT BY 2020, 12 WHICH MEANS ANOTHER 63 PLANTS IN 10 YEARS AFTER THAT. 13 MS. HOWES: WELL, YOU KNOW YOU'LL BE 14 BETTER. 15 MR. PALMER: I DON'T THINK SO. SO THAT 16 AIN'T GOING TO HAPPEN. 17 NUMBER TWO, ON NATURAL GAS, ON HARD CAPS, 18 AEP SAID THEY'RE GOING TO DISPATCH NATURAL GAS BEFORE 19 COAL IN THAT ENVIRONMENT. THE NATURAL GAS WILL COME 20 FROM QATAR, IRAN, AND RUSSIA. SO WE WILL BE DOUBLING 21 DOWN ON IMPORTED OIL FOR OUR ELECTRICITY GENERATION, 22 WHICH IS WHERE THE COAL PLANTS CAME FROM AND THE 23 NUCLEAR PLANTS CAME FROM IN 1977 WITH JIMMY CARTER'S 24 PROJECT ENERGY INDEPENDENCE, BECAUSE 17 PERCENT OF OUR ELECTRICITY GENERATION THEN WAS IMPORTED OIL. 25 0259 THAT WAS NOT A TRANSPORTATION DEAL. THAT WAS AN 1 ELECTRICITY PLAY. SO HARD CAPS ON THE U.S. COAL 2 3 FLEET TODAY LEADS TO LNG. THE LNG ENVIRONMENTAL 4 FOOTPRINT, BY THE WAY, FOR CARBON IS MIDWAY BETWEEN 5 NATURAL GAS AND COOL BECAUSE OF FLARING WHEN YOU 6 LIQUIFY IT, FLARING WHEN YOU TRANSPORT IT, AND 7 FLARING WHEN YOU REGASIFY IT, PLUS THE U.S. ARMY AND 8 THE SIXTH FLEET IN THE MIDDLE EAST. NOT A GOOD IDEA. 9 NEXT QUESTION. 10 DR. TAYLOR: MY NAME IS BRIAN TAYLOR. I'M 11 FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII. 12 YOU'VE ALL ACKNOWLEDGED THE LATENCY INHERENT IN THE TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT TO GET TO 13 WHERE WE NEED TO BE, MEANING THE TIME WE NEED TO BE 14 15 DOING THINGS IS THE TIME WE'RE STILL TRYING TO GET 16 THEM DEVELOPED. BUT ALSO, IT SEEMS TO ME A TOTAL 17 DISCONNECT BETWEEN WHAT FRED TOLD US THIS MORNING, WHERE CHINA IS LOOKING AT A BILLION TONS OF CO2 COMING 18 ADDITIONALLY ON LINE IN THE NEXT FOUR YEARS AND WE'RE 19 TALKING ABOUT TENS OF MILLIONS OF TONS OF CARBON 20 21 CAPTURE AND SEQUESTRATION UNLESS SOMETHING CHANGES 22 RADICALLY. SO FROM A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE, ALTHOUGH WE 23 NEED TO HAVE MANY THINGS IN THE BASKET TO DO MANY 24 THINGS TOGETHER, THE SORTS OF THINGS WE HAVE BEEN 25 TALKING ABOUT PALE IN RELATION TO THE SORT OF 0260 1 INDONESIA TAKING OVER INDIA TAKING OVER CHINA AS THE 2 DOMINANT GLOBAL PRODUCERS. 3 MR. PALMER: FIRST OF ALL, IT IS A BILLION 4 TONS OF COAL, WHICH I THINK IS A COUPLE BILLION TONS 5 OF CO2, BUT MINOR POINT. 6 I THINK THERE IS GOOD NEWS ON THE CHINA 7 CHINA IS IN FUTUREGEN, AS WE SAW IN HUANENG. FRONT. 8 AND EVERYBODY IN CHINA, EVERY BIG COMPANY IN CHINA IS

9 THE GOVERNMENT OF CHINA. THAT'S WHY THEY HAVE 10 \$1.4 TRILLION IN THE BANK, WHICH THEY DO. 11 NUMBER TWO, CHINA IN TWO WEEKS WILL BE 12 ANNOUNCING A PROJECT CALLED GREEN GEM, WHICH IS THEIR 13 COUNTERPART TO OUR FUTUREGEN, AND THERE WILL BE A 14 LIST OF COMPANIES THAT WILL SURPRISE PEOPLE THAT ARE 15 IN THAT, MOSTLY CHINESE BUT NOT EXCLUSIVELY CHINESE. 16 SO CHINA IS TAKING VERY SERIOUSLY THE ISSUE OF CO2. BUT AT THE SAME TIME, IF YOU SIT DOWN WITH THEM AND 17 18 HAVE A CANDID DISCUSSION WITH THEM, THEY WILL TELL 19 YOU THEY'RE GOING TO INDUSTRIALIZE, AND THAT'S THE 20 WAY IT IS. AND YOU CAN'T ARGUE WITH THAT. I MEAN, I 21 JUST SAY EVERY HUMAN ON EARTH HAS THE RIGHT TO LIVE 22 AS WELL AS EVERY ONE OF US LIVE. AND I BELIEVE THAT 23 WITH EVERY FIBER OF MY BEING. AND THERE ARE A LOT OF PEOPLE ON EARTH AND THERE ARE A LOT OF PEOPLE THAT 24 25 DON'T HAVE ANYTHING. SO THE ONLY PATH, THE ONLY 0261 1 CONCEIVABLE PATH -- AND I THINK THE AEP PRESENTATION 2 WE SAW IS REALLY ENCOURAGING -- IS THE DEVELOPMENT OF 3 THE TECHNOLOGY AND THE DEPLOYMENT OF IT BOTH ON 4 POST-COMBUSTION CAPTURE AND ON GASIFICATION, IN THE 5 FIRST INSTANCE, ALONG WITH RENEWABLES, ALONG WITH NUCLEAR, A WHOLE HOST OF THINGS THAT PUTS US ON A 6 7 GLIDE PATH WHERE WE STABILIZE HERE AND START DOWN, WE 8 TAKE THAT OVERSEAS TO THEM, AND SAY, YOU GOT THE 9 MONEY, YOU GOT TO START DEPLOYING IT. AND THEY WILL DO THAT. BUT YOU CANNOT TELL THEM THEY CAN'T 10 INDUSTRIALIZE. AND YOU CAN'T TELL INDIA THEY CAN'T 11 INDUSTRIALIZE. THEY'RE GOING TO. 12 13 I ALSO HAPPEN TO BELIEVE WE'RE IN PEAK OIL. 14 AND, YOU KNOW, MATT SIMMONS WHEN HE TALKS ABOUT PEAK OIL, HE DOESN'T TALK ABOUT BEING AT 85 MILLION 15 BARRELS A DAY FOREVER. HE TALKS ABOUT GOING DOWN TO 16 17 60 MILLION BARRELS. SO WHAT DOES THAT DO TO THESE PROJECTIONS ON ENERGY USE, BY THE WAY. THAT'S A 18 19 REALLY INTERESTING QUESTION. HOW MUCH CO2 IN THE 20 25 MILLION BARRELS A DAY OF DECLINE BETWEEN NOW AND 21 2030, IF HE IS RIGHT, IS THERE? AND IS THERE ENOUGH 22 COAL TO MAKE THAT UP? I'M IN THE COAL BUSINESS. Ι 23 TELL YOU THERE IS NOT. 24 SO I THINK WE'RE IN A CARBON-CONSTRAINED 25 WORLD IN A SENSE. I DON'T DESPAIR OVER ANY OF THIS. 0262 1 BUT IT IS GOING TO TAKE A LOT OF HARD WORK, A LOT OF 2 TIME, AND A LOT OF INVESTMENT WITH A LOT OF DIFFERENT 3 PARTS TO ANSWER THE PUZZLE. BUT IN THE MEANTIME, 4 PEOPLE HAVE THE RIGHT TO LIVE THEIR LIVES, AND THE 5 INDUSTRIALIZING COUNTRIES HAVE THE RIGHT TO б INDUSTRIALIZE, AND WE HAVE TO KEEP THAT SQUARELY IN 7 FRONT OF US. 8 DR. BISHOP: I'M JIM BISHOP; UNIVERSITY OF 9 CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY. 10 AND I WANTED TO RESPOND AND ACTUALLY 11 RESONATE WITH A REMARK THAT HELEN MADE; AND THAT IS, ACTUALLY MOST PEOPLE ACTUALLY DON'T HAVE A CLUE WHAT 12 13 THEIR ELECTRICITY BILL IS.

14 MS. HOWES: YOU'RE RIGHT. DR. BISHOP: AND I JUST THOUGHT THAT 15 16 CALIFORNIA HAD THIS ENERGY CRISIS, THREATENED ROLLING 17 BLACKOUTS; AND AT LAWRENCE BERKELEY LAB, WHERE I 18 WORK, WE FOUND THAT JUST BY POSTING THE ENERGY USAGE OF THE BUILDING THIS MONTH THIS YEAR VERSUS LAST 19 2.0 YEAR, THEY ACTUALLY ACHIEVED A CONSCIOUS 20-PERCENT 21 REDUCTION IN POWER USAGE AT THIS NATIONAL LAB, WHICH 22 HAS A LOT OF SYSTEMS THAT HAVE TO BE ON ALL THE TIME. 23 SO I JUST WONDER IF EXACTLY THE 24 DEMONSTRATION THAT WE DID, WITH OUR HANDS UP, MEANS 25 THAT WE COULD KNOCK OFF 20 PERCENT WITHOUT ANYONE 0263 1 GIVING UP ANYTHING, JUST BY COMMUNICATING TO THE 2 PUBLIC EFFECTIVELY. AND I KNOW THAT MY ELECTRICITY 3 BILL ISN'T ON AUTO PAY. AND IT WOULD BE WONDERFUL IF 4 ACTUALLY ON THE TELEVISION NIGHTLY NEWS OR SOMETHING 5 THEY'D SAY, SAN FRANCISCO'S POWER CONSUMPTION WAS 6 THIS YEAR AND LAST YEAR IT WAS THAT. WOULDN'T THAT 7 BE WONDERFUL? IT WOULD PROVIDE POSITIVE FEEDBACK. 8 NO ONE REALLY HAS TO DO ANYTHING EXCEPT TURN OFF A FEW MORE LIGHTS, MAYBE MAKE A FEW CONSCIOUS DECISIONS 9 ABOUT MILEAGE IN CARS, ET CETERA, ET CETERA. 10 WHAT DO YOU THINK? I WOULD LIKE EVERYONE 11 12 ON THE PANEL TO ADDRESS THAT. 13 AND BY THE WAY, WITH GRAYING HAIR, I ALSO 14 SEE THAT THERE IS NO SIMPLE SOLUTIONS. SO I TOTALLY AGREE WITH EVERYTHING THAT'S BEING SAID HERE. BUT I 15 WOULD LIKE YOU TO JUST INTERACT. 16 17 MS. HOWES: YEAH, I THINK YOU'RE RIGHT 18 ABOUT THE CHALLENGES OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY. I MEAN, 19 IT MAKES SENSE. IT CAN HELP YOU SAVE SOME MONEY. 20 BUT WHY AREN'T PEOPLE DOING IT NOW? AND I THINK ONE 21 OF THE REASONS IS THEIR ELECTRICITY BILLS AREN'T HIGH 22 ENOUGH FOR THEM TO REGISTER THAT THEY NEED TO MANAGE THEM. I THINK, SECONDLY, THERE ARE MANY OTHER THINGS 23 24 THAT THEY ARE CONCERNED ABOUT. 25 ONE OF THE INTERESTING THINGS FOR ME IS THE 0264 1 ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION OF FLAT SCREEN TV. OKAY. 2 HOW MANY OF YOU HAVE FLAT SCREEN TV'S? HOW MANY OF 3 YOU ASPIRE TO FLAT SCREEN TV'S? 4 ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION IS SIGNIFICANTLY 5 HIGHER IN FLAT SCREEN TV'S, BUT WE MAKE OUR CHOICES 6 BASED ON WHAT WE WANT, NOT THE ENERGY CONSUMPTION 7 ASSOCIATED WITH IT. 8 I THINK YOU'RE RIGHT, THERE IS A LOT TO BE 9 SAID FOR COMMUNICATING HOW MUCH ELECTRICITY 10 CONSUMPTION. I THINK YOU'RE RIGHT. I THINK WE CAN PROBABLY GET 10 TO 15, AND MAYBE I CAN STRETCH IT TO 11 12 20 PERCENT IF PEOPLE CONSCIOUSLY MADE THOSE CHOICES. 13 AND LET ME USE AN ANECDOTE. WE HAD A 14 COUPLE OF BUILDINGS IN THE CHICAGO AREA. OUR 15 CLEANERS CAME IN SORT OF ROUGHLY AT 10:00 AT NIGHT, 16 AND THEY LEFT ABOUT 3 IN THE MORNING, AND THEY HAD 17 THE LIGHTS ON ALL THE TIME. SECURITY GUARDS CAME IN ABOUT 4:00, TURNED ON THE HEATING OR COOLING, 18

DEPENDING ON THE SEASON, AND THAT LOAD WAS THERE ALL 19 20 DAY. WE EDUCATED THE CLEANERS TO TURN OFF 21 FLOOR-BY-FLOOR. WE EDUCATED THE SECURITY PEOPLE TO 22 ONLY TURN ON HEATING AND COOLING AN HOUR BEFORE THE 23 FIRST PERSON ARRIVED. SAVINGS: 10 PERCENT. IT CAN 24 BE DONE. IT CAN BE DONE. 25 MR. PALMER: WE'RE RUNNING OUT OF TIME 0265 HERE, BUT I WANT TO LET CHUCK JUST GIVE US HIS 1 2 THOUGHTS HERE BASED ON WHAT HE'S HEARD. I KNOW HE IS 3 GOING TO ADDRESS THE GROUP LATER. AFTER THAT, WE GOT 4 TO GET ON TO THE NEXT SPEAKER. 5 DR. KUTSCHER: ALL RIGHT. THANKS, FRED. б I WILL BE TALKING ABOUT RENEWABLE ENERGY ON 7 FRIDAY. I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS YOUR POINT. I BASICALLY AGREE WITH YOU. YOU KNOW, WHEN 8 9 PHOTOVOLTAIC MODULES GET INSTALLED ON PEOPLE'S HOMES 10 AND THEY SIMULTANEOUSLY GET AN ENERGY MONITOR THAT 11 SHOWS HOW MUCH ENERGY THEY'RE USING, THE DATA SHOWS 12 THAT THEY REALLY CONSERVE ENERGY, AND SO THEY USE A 13 LOT LESS ENERGY THAN THEY DID BEFORE. OF COURSE, THEY LOVE TO SEE THAT ELECTRIC METER RUNNING 14 BACKWARDS. THEY THINK THAT IS REALLY COOL. 15 SO THE MORE WE CAN TELL CUSTOMERS ABOUT HOW 16 17 MUCH ENERGY THEY'RE USING, THE BETTER THAT THEY WILL 18 RESPOND. SIMILARLY, IF CUSTOMERS KNOW WHERE THEIR 19 ELECTRICITY COMES FROM -- MOST CUSTOMERS DON'T KNOW 20 WHERE THEIR ELECTRONS COME FROM -- BUT IF THEY KNOW 21 WHERE THERE ELECTRICITY COMES FROM, CUSTOMERS TEND TO 22 BE VERY ENVIRONMENTALLY CONSCIOUS, AND THEY WILL 23 CHOOSE CLEANER FORMS OF ELECTRICITY IF GIVEN THE 24 CHOICE, IF THEY HAVE THAT KNOWLEDGE. 25